CHAPTER 4

ANALYSIS

1.1. HYPOTHESES TESTING USING ONE WAY ANOVA

(Part of the below Analysis has been presented at the following International Conferences:

- 3rd IIM A International Conference on Advanced Data Analysis, Business Analytics and Intelligence. April 13-14, 2013, IIM Ahmedabad
- 7th IIM A Doctoral Colloquium, Dec 9-10, 2013, IIM Ahmedabad)

Service provider to service provider difference in overall customer satisfaction

Null Hypothesis (H_0): *There is <u>no</u> difference* in overall customer satisfaction level from service provider to service provider.

Alternative Hypothesis (H₁): *There* <u>is</u> *difference* in overall customer satisfaction level from service provider to service provider.

One-way ANOVA: WCS versus Service Providers

Source Service Provi Error Total	DF der 3 554 557	SS 18530.8 50704.3 69235.1	MS 6176.9 91.5	F 67.49	P D.000	
S = 9.567 R	-Sq = 26.7	77% R-S0	q(adj) =	26.37%		
Level AIRCEL AIRTEL RELIANCE GSM VODAFONE	N Me 60 33.2 123 41.3 251 29.3 124 41.6	ean StDe 243 7.62 386 11.5 384 7.3 517 11.92	ev 22 73 42 15			
Level AIRCEL AIRTEL RELIANCE GSM VODAFONE	Individua + (* 28.0	al 95% CI; (*	s For Mea)) 36.0	n Based (. (. 40.)	on Pooled +) (*) +	StDev

Pooled StDev = 9.567

From the above ANOVA, it is observed that the P value is 0.000, and less than 0.05. Therefore the Null Hypothesis (H_0) " *There is <u>no</u> difference* in overall customer satisfaction level from service provider to service provider" is rejected, meaning the customer satisfaction levels are different for different service providers

- Level Avg Customer Satisfaction%
- Aircel 33.2
- Airtel 41.4
- Reliance 29.4

Vodafone 41.6



Whether or not the above conclusion is valid within the two Circles was also investigated and the findings are reported via separate ANOVA for two Circles. Based on this investigation following points are noteworthy:

• Average customer satisfaction level for Reliance is lowest in both the Circles.

- For Vodafone and Airtel the average customer satisfaction level is highest in both the circles
- The average customer satisfaction percentage for Aircel lies ahead of the average customer satisfaction percentages for Reliance but behind that of Airtel and Vodafone

One-way ANOVA: WCS percentage_Assam versus Service Provider Assam

Source Service H Error Total	Provid	ler A	ssam 2 2	DF 3 122 71 315 74 437	SS 23 4 32 54	MS 074 116	F 35.02	P 0.000	
S = 10.79) R-	-Sq =	27.94%	R-Sq	[(adj)	= 27	14%		
					Indi	vidua	1 95%	CIs For M	ean Based on
					POOL	ed St	Dev		
Level		Ν	Mean	StDev	+		+-	+	+
AIRCEL		15	34.61	7.17	(*)	
AIRTEL		80	44.93	11.85					()
RELIANCE	GSM	100	31.26	8.46	(*)		
VODAFONE		80	45.33	12.64					()
					+		+-	+	+
					30.0		35.0	40.0	45.0

Pooled StDev = 10.79

- Average customer satisfaction level for Reliance is lowest in Assam Circle and Aircel is same but behind Airtel and Vodafone
- For Vodafone and Airtel the average customer satisfaction level is highest in Assam Circle



One-way ANOVA: WCS percentage_NE versus Service Provider_NE

·	-	U	/ _				_	
Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P			
Service Provider N	Е З	2651.5	883.8	19.63	0.000			
Error	279	12559.2	45.0					
Total	282	15210.7						
S = 6.709 R-Sq =	17.43%	R−Sq (a	adj) = 1	6.54%				
			Individ Pooled	lual 95% St.Dev	CIs For	Mean	Based on	
Level N	Mean	St.Dev	+	+		-+		
AIRCEL 45	32.786	7.789			(*)	
AIRTEL 43	34.790	7.501				(*)
RELIANCE GSM 151	28.140	6.219	(*)		·		
VODAFONE 44	34.861	6.333				(*)
			+	+		-+	+	
			27.5	30.0	32	.5	35.0	

Pooled StDev = 6.709

Conclusions:

• Average customer satisfaction level for Reliance is lowest in NE Circle

• For Vodafone, Airtel and Aircel the average customer satisfaction level is same but significantly more in Assam than that in NE Circle



There is Field Office to field office difference in Customer Satisfaction

Null Hypothesis (H_0): *There is <u>no</u> difference* in overall customer satisfaction level from field office to field office.

Alternative Hypothesis (H₁): *There* <u>is</u> *difference* in overall customer satisfaction level from field office to field office.

One-way ANOVA: WCS versus Circles

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
X1.Field Office	1	10270	10270	96.84	0.000
Error	556	58965	106		
Total	557	69235			
S = 10.30 R-Sq	= 14.	.83%	R-Sq(ad	j) = 14	.68%
	Tra	l		Maan Da	and an Depled Chose
Level N Mean StD	ev -	+	95% CIS FC	ог Mean ва: +	+
Assam 275 39.52 12.	64				(*)
NE 283 30.93 7.	34 (*)			
	30.	0 3	33.0 3	36.0	+ 39.0

• From the above ANOVA, it is observed that the P value is 0.000, and less than 0.05. Therefore the Null Hypothesis (H_0) " *There is <u>no</u> difference* in overall customer satisfaction level from field office to field office" is rejected, meaning the customer satisfaction levels are different for different field offices

Customer Satisfaction level for Assam and NE (field office to field office) Assam: 39.5%

NE: 30.9%



Service provider to service provider difference in overall customer satisfaction from centre to centre

Null Hypothesis (H₀): *There is* <u>no</u> *difference* in overall customer satisfaction level for the service providers from centre to centre.

Alternative Hypothesis (H₁): *There* <u>is</u> *difference* in overall customer satisfaction level for the service providers from centre to centre.

One-way ANOVA: WCS v/s Locations

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P			
X2.Centre	8	12955	1619	15.80	0.000			
Error	549	56281	103					
Total	557	69235						
S = 10.12	R-S	q = 18.	71% F	R-Sq(ad	j) = 17.	53%		
				Indiv	idual 95	% CIs F	or Mean Bas	ed on
				Poore	d StDev			
Level	Ν	Mean	StDev		+	+	+	+
Agartala	23	30.48	6.49	(*)		
Aizwal	43	31.35	3.21	(*)		
Dibrugarh	45	35.81	7.84			(*)	
Guwahati	168	41.63	12.91				(*)
Imphal	106	29.16	8.01	(*)			
Nalbari	22	36.07	13.33		(*)	
Shillong	69	33.28	7.43		(-*)		
Silchar	42	31.38	8.00	(*)		
Tezpur	40	36.70	13.89			(*)	
					+	+	+	+
				3	0.0	35.0	40.0	45.0

Pooled StDev = 10.12

Conclusion:

• From the above ANOVA, it is observed that the P value is 0.000, and less than 0.05. Therefore the Null Hypothesis (H_0) " *There is <u>no</u> difference* in overall customer satisfaction level from center to center" is rejected, meaning the customer satisfaction levels are different for different centers

Lower to Higher satisfaction level for centers are:

- Lower: Agartala, Imphal and Silchar
- Middle: Aizwal and Shillong
- Higher: Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Nalbari and Tezpur



Further verification of CS level across Service Providers in each of the above Centers is also checked through ANOVA and results are listed below:

One-way ANOVA: WCS %_Agartala versus Service Provider_Agartala

DF MS F Source SS Ρ Service Provider_Agartal 3 110.3 36.8 0.86 0.481 19 816.8 43.0 Error Total 22 927.2 S = 6.557 R-Sq = 11.90% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level Ν 7 27.527 4.849 (-----*-----) AIRCEL 5 30.161 5.082 (-----*-----) AIRTEL (-----) RELIANCE GSM 5 31.855 8.994 VODAFONE 6 33.039 7.055 (-----) 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 Pooled StDev = 6.557

Conclusion:

• Since P value (0.481) is greater than 0.05, hence the CS levels for all the four Operators do not differ significantly from each other and therefore it can be concluded that for all the four operators the CS percentage is same for Agartala location



One-way ANOVA: WCS percentage_Aizwal versus Service Provider_Aizwal

Source DF SS MS F Ρ Service Provider Aizwal 3 36.0 12.0 1.18 0.331 Error 39 397.7 10.2 Total 42 433.7 S = 3.193 R-Sq = 8.30% R-Sq(adj) = 1.25% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level Ν Mean StDev -----+--AIRCEL AIRTEL 8 32.731 (-----) 1.858 8 30.868 3.128 (-----*-----) RELIANCE GSM 19 30.567 3.497 (-----) 8 32.300 3.482 VODAFONE (-----) 30.0 32.0 34.0 36.0 Pooled StDev = 3.193

```
Pooled StDev = 3.19
```

Conclusion:

• Since P value (0.331) is greater than 0.05, hence the CS levels for all the four Operators do not differ significantly from each other and therefore it can be concluded that for all the four operators the CS percentage is same for Aizwal location



One-way ANOVA: WCS percentage_Dibrugarh versus Service Provider_Dibrugarh

Source			DF	SS	MS	F	P		
Service Provi Error Total	der_	Dibruga	3 41 44	187.5 2518.2 2705.6	62.5 61.4	1.02	0.395		
100041				2,00,0					
S = 7.837 R	-Sq	= 6.93%	R-S	q(adj)	= 0.12	00			
				Indi Pool	vidual ed StD	95% C ev	Is For	Mean Based	on
Level	Ν	Mean	StDe	+		+		++	
AIRCEL	8	34.976	7.51	8 (-		*		-)	
AIRTEL	9	39.881	7.96	9		(*)	
RELIANCE GSM	20	34.814	7.66	0	(*)		
VODAFONE	8	34.539	8.44	8 (*)	
				+		+		++	
				30.0		35.0	40.	0 45.0	
Pooled StDev	= 7.	837							

Conclusion:

• Since P value (0.395) is greater than 0.05, hence the CS levels for all the four Operators do not differ significantly from each other and therefore it can be concluded that for all the four operators the CS percentage is same for Dibrugarh location



One-way ANOVA: WCS percentage_Guwahati versus Service Provider_Guwahati

511
+
*)
-*)
+
8.0
-

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS percentage differs significantly from each other in Guwahti amongst the four Operators.
- Aircel and Reliance are on lower side while Airtel and Vodafone are on higher side



One-way ANOVA: WCS percentage_Imphal versus Service Provider_Imphal

Source DF SS MS F Ρ Service Provider Imphal 3 3116.6 1038.9 29.33 0.000 Error 102 3612.5 35.4 Total 105 6729.1 S = 5.951 R-Sq = 46.31% R-Sq(adj) = 44.74% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level AIRCEL 7 32.686 2.127 TET. 14 36.965 7.934 05 355 5.328 Level Ν Mean StDev 2.127 (-----) (----) (--*--) RELIANCE GSM 70 25.355 5.328 (----) VODAFONE 15 37.966 7.597 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 Pooled StDev = 5.951

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS percentage differs significantly from each other in Imphal amongst the four Operators.
- Reliance is on lower side while other three are on higher side



One-way ANOVA: WCS Percentage_Nalbari versus Service Provider_Nalbari

Source DF SS MS F Ρ Service Provider Nalbari 2 1676 838 7.75 0.003 Error 19 2056 108 Total 21 3731 S = 10.40 R-Sq = 44.91% R-Sq(adj) = 39.11% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level Ν Mean StDev AIRTEL 4 52.62 18.86 (-----) RELIANCE GSM 14 30.08 8.33 (----*----) 4 40.48 5.34 VODAFONE (-----) ----+----+----+----+----+----+----30 40 50 60 Pooled StDev = 10.40

- Since P value (0.003) is less than 0.05, the average CS % differs significantly from each other in Nalbari amongst the three Operators.
- Reliance is on lower side while other two are on higher side



One-way ANOVA: WCS Percentage_Shillong versus Service Provider_Shillong

Source DF SS MS F Ρ Service Provider Shillon 3 915.7 305.2 6.98 0.000 Error 65 2843.0 43.7 Total 68 3758.7 S = 6.614 R-Sq = 24.36% R-Sq(adj) = 20.87% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level Ν Mean StDev AIRCEL 15 33.572 AIRTEL 8 41 000 (-----) 6.789 8 41.900 8.099 (-----) AIRTEL RELIANCE GSM 39 30.800 6.363 (----*---) 7 36.660 5.772 (-----) VODAFONE 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0 Pooled StDev = 6.614

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS percentage differs significantly from each other in Shillong amongst the four Operators.
- Reliance and Aircel are on lower side while other two are on higher side



One-way ANOVA: WCS Percentage_Silchar versus Service Provider_Silchar

```
Source
                  DF
                       SS
                           MS
                               F
                                     Ρ
Service Provider Silchar
                  3
                    235.3 78.4 1.25 0.306
                  38 2390.3 62.9
Error
Total
                  41 2625.7
S = 7.931 R-Sq = 8.96% R-Sq(adj) = 1.78%
Level
          Ν
             Mean
                  StDev
AIRCEL
          8 36.059 14.847
          8 30.689
AIRTEL
                  3.351
RELIANCE GSM 18 29.611
                  6.515
          8 31.393
VODAFONE
                  2.595
         Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
Level
           AIRCEL
                   (-----)
           (-----)
AIRTEL
             (-----)
RELIANCE GSM
            (-----)
VODAFONE
           30.0 35.0 40.0
         25.0
```

```
Pooled StDev = 7.931
```

Conclusion

• Since P value (0.306) is greater than 0.05, hence the CS levels for all the four Operators do not differ significantly from each other and therefore it can be concluded that for all the four operators the CS percentage is same for Silchar location



One-way ANOVA: WCS percentage_Tezpur versus Service Provider_Tezpur

DF Source SS MS F Ρ Service Provider_Tezpur 2 3168 1584 13.47 0.000 Error 37 4352 118 Total 39 7521 S = 10.85 R-Sq = 42.13% R-Sq(adj) = 39.00% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level Ν Mean StDev LevelNMeanStrevAIRTEL1639.0011.63 (----) RELIANCE GSM 11 22.96 7.30 (----*----) (-----) VODAFONE 13 45.50 12.21 20 30 40 50 Pooled StDev = 10.85

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS percentage differs significantly from each other in Tezpur amongst the four Operators.
- Reliance is on lower side while other two are on higher side



Service provider to service provider difference in customer satisfaction with respect to the following 10 Service Parameters, listed below:

- a) Cost
- b) Brand
- c) Customer Loyalty
- d) Network
- e) Billing
- f) Call Center
- g) Store
- h) Tariff Plan
- i) Value Added Service
- j) Advertising and Communication

Null Hypothesis (H₀): *There is <u>no</u> difference* in customer satisfaction level from service provider to Service provider in each of the above segment.

Alternative Hypothesis (H₁): *There* <u>is</u> *difference* in customer satisfaction level from service provider to Service provider in each of the above segment.

One-way ANOVA: CS on Cost Perception versus Service Provider

Source DF SS MS F Ρ Service Provider 3 10742 3581 24.27 0.000 Error 554 81734 148 Total 557 92476 S = 12.15 R-Sq = 11.62% R-Sq(adj) = 11.14% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
 N
 Mean
 StDev
 -----+

 60
 30.47
 11.93
 (-----*----)
 Level AIRCEL6030.4711.93AIRTEL12336.1013.18 (----) RELIANCE GSM 251 26.78 9.33 (----*---) VODAFONE 124 36.01 15.71 (----) ----+ 28.0 31.5 35.0 38.5 Pooled StDev = 12.15

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS percentage differs significantly on Cost Perception amongst the four Operators.
- Reliance is on lower side, Airtel and Vodafone on higher side and Aircel is in between the two Service Providers



One-way ANC	OVA: CS o	on Branc	d Perce	ption ve	ersus Se	rvice Provi	ider
Source	DF	SS	MS	F	Р		
Service Provid	der 3	37712	12571	63.85	0.000		
Error	553	108868	197				
Total	556	146580					
S = 14.03 R·	-Sq = 25.7	73% R-	Sq(adj)	= 25.3	28		
Level	N Mea	an StDe	v				
AIRCEL	60 37.4	45 10.6	5				
AIRTEL	123 48.5	55 15.4	9				
RELIANCE GSM	251 31.0	02 11.5	9				
VODAFONE	123 48.2	22 17.9	6				
	Individua	al 95% C	Is For	Mean Ba	sed on	Pooled StD	ev
Level	-+	+		-+	+		
AIRCEL		(*)				
AIRTEL					(*	-)	
RELIANCE GSM VODAFONE	(*))		(*	-)	
	-+	+		·+	+		
	30.0	36.0	42.	.0	48.0		

Pooled StDev = 14.03

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS percentage differs significantly on Brand Perception amongst the four Operators.
- Reliance and Aircel are on lower side and Airtel and Vodafone on higher side



One-way ANOVA: CS on Customer Loyalty versus Service Provider

Source		DF	SS	MS	F	P			
Service Provid	er	3 1	2395	4132	23.97	0.000			
Error		554 9	5510	172					
Total		557 10	7905						
S = 13.13 R-	Sq =	11.49%	R-S	Sq(adj) = 11.	01%			
				Ind	ividual	95% C	Is For Mean	Based on	
				Poo	led StD	ev			
Level	Ν	Mean	StDev	~	+	+	+	+	
AIRCEL	60	38.96	10.47	7		(*)	
AIRTEL	123	42.84	14.84	ł			(*)	
RELIANCE GSM	251	32.65	12.07	/ (-	*)				
VODAFONE	124	42.33	14.47	7			(*)	
					+	+	+	+	
				32.	0	36.0	40.0	44.0	
Pooled StDev =	13.	13							

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS percentage differs significantly on Customer Loyalty amongst the four Operators.
- Reliance is on lower side, Airtel, Vodafone and Aircel are on higher side



One-way ANOVA: CS on Network Experience versus Service Provider

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P		
Service Provider	3	73903 2	24634	73.19	0.000		
Error	554	186470	337				
Total	557	260373					
S = 18.35 R-Sc	= 28.3	8% R-Sc	q(adj)	= 28.0	0%		
			Indiv Poole	idual d StDe	95% CIs v	For Mean	Based on
Level	N Mea	n StDev	+		+	+	
AIRCEL 6	0 31.2	9.42		(*	•)	
AIRTEL 12	3 47.3	4 24.66					(*)
RELIANCE GSM 25	1 22.9	0 12.09	(*-	·)			
VODAFONE 12	4 46.9	4 23.93					(*)
			+		+		
			24.0		32.0	40.0	48.0

```
Pooled StDev = 18.35
```

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS percentage differs significantly on Network Perception amongst the four Operators.
- Reliance is on lower side, Airtel and Vodafone on higher side and Aircel is in between the two Service Providers



One-way ANOVA: CS on Billing Experience versus Service Provider

Source]	DF	SS	MS	F		P		
Service Provide	er	3 22	2832 7	611	37.49	0.00	0		
Error	5	54 112	2452	203					
Total	5	57 135	5283						
S = 14.25 R-3	Sq = 1	16.88%	R-Sq	(adj)	= 16.4	13%			
				Indi Pool	vidual ed StDe	95% ∋v	CIs For	Mean Ba	sed on
Level	Ν	Mean	StDev		+	+		-+	+
AIRCEL	60 3	31.71	12.10	(*)		
AIRTEL	123 4	42.98	17.74					(*)
RELIANCE GSM 2	251 2	29.90	10.61	(*)				
VODAFONE	124 4	43.18	17.41					(*)
					+	+		-+	+
				30.	0	35.0	40	.0	45.0
Pooled StDev =	14.2	5							

Conclusion:

• Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS oercentage differs significantly on Billing Experience amongst the four Operators.



• Reliance and Aircel are on lower side, Airtel and Vodafone on higher side

One-way ANOVA: CS on Call Center Experience versus Service Provider

```
Source
               DF SS MS F
                                       Р
Service Provider 3 11856 3952 20.94 0.000
Error
Total
               192 36240 189
              195 48095
S = 13.74 R-Sq = 24.65% R-Sq(adj) = 23.47%
               Mean StDev
Level
            Ν

        AIRCEL
        16
        30.89
        17.06

        AIRTEL
        47
        40.11
        16.64

RELIANCE GSM 89 22.87 11.71
VODAFONE
           44 37.87 12.82
           Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
            Level
AIRCEL
                 (-----)
                                 (----)
AIRTEL
RELIANCE GSM (---*---)
                             (-----)
VODAFONE
             21.0 28.0 35.0 42.0
Pooled StDev = 13.74
```

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS percentage differs significantly on Call Center Experience amongst the four Operators.
- Reliance is on lower side, Airtel and Vodafone on higher side and Aircel is in between the two Service Providers



One-way ANOVA: CS on Store Experience versus Service Provider

Source		DF	SS	MS	F	P			
Service Provid	ler	3	8014 2	671	7.69	0.000			
Error		122 4	12397	348					
Total		125 5	50411						
S = 18.64 R-	-Sq =	= 15.90)% R−S	sq(adj) = 13	3.83%			
				Indi Pool	.vidual .ed StI	95% Dev	CIs For Me	ean Based or	1
Level	Ν	Mean	StDev	+		+	+	+	
AIRCEL	6	34.78	10.66	(_*)	
AIRTEL	25	52.02	17.70					(*)
RELIANCE GSM	59	36.11	18.73			(*)		
VODAFONE	36	51.87	19.97					(*)
				+		+	+	+	
				20		30	40	50	
Pooled StDev =	= 18	.64							

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS percentage differs significantly on Store Experience amongst the four Operators.
- Reliance and Aircel are on lower side, Airtel and Vodafone on higher side



One-way ANOVA: CS on Tariff Plan Perception versus Service Provider

Source		DF	SS	MS	F	P			
Service Provi	der	3	11124	3708	18.65	0.000			
Error		549 1	09152	199					
Total		552 1	20276						
S = 14.10 R	-Sa =	9.25%	R-Sc	(adi)	= 8.75	0			
				1 (0- 0-))					
				Tnd	ividual	95% CTs	s For Mean	Based on	
				Poo	led StD	2V 2V	, 101 110an	Daboa on	
T orrol	N	Moon	S+Dor		±======				
Tever		21 10	JI OA		+		·		
AIRCEL	60	31.10	11.84	: (-*)		
AIRTEL	122	36.79	16.03	3			(*)	
RELIANCE GSM	247	28.93	11.97	(-	*)			
VODAFONE	124	39.42	16.75				(*	·)
					+	+	+	+	·
				28.	0	32.0	36.0	40.0	
Pooled StDev	= 14.	10							
100100 00000	•	± 0							

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS percentage differs significantly on Tariff Plan Perception amongst the four Operators.
- Reliance and Aircel are on lower side, Airtel and Vodafone on higher side



One-way ANOVA: CS on VAS versus Service Provider

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	Р		
Service Provider	3 7	725 25	75 12.	.98 0	.000		
Error	434 86	081 1	98				
Total	437 93	806					
S = 14.08 B-Sq	= 8 24%	R-Sa(adi) =	7 60%			
5 11.00 10.04	0.210	10 091	uuj)	/.000			
			Indivi	dual	95% CTS	For Mean F	Based on
			Pooloc	A S+DO	77 °CI3	FOI Mean I	based on
_			FOOTEC	i stbe	v		
Level N	Mean	StDev	+		+	+	+
AIRCEL 50	28.36	10.01		(*)	
AIRTEL 99	32.45	16.80				(*)
RELIANCE GSM 189	24.10	11.82	(*	·)			
VODAFONE 100	33.53	16.59				(-*)
			+		+	+	+
			24.0)	28.0	32.0	36.0
Pooled StDev = 14	.08						

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS percentage differs significantly on VAS Experience amongst the four Operators.
- Reliance and Aircel are on lower side, Airtel and Vodafone on higher side



One-way ANOVA: CS on Advt. & Communication versus Service Provider

Source DF SS MS F Ρ 3 24265 8088 38.86 0.000 Service Provider 552 114893 Error 208 Total 555 139159 S = 14.43 R-Sq = 17.44% R-Sq(adj) = 16.99% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level Ν Mean StDev -----+-13.51 (----*----) 59 34.78 AIRCEL 123 45.60 17.00 (---*---) AIRTEL RELIANCE GSM 251 34.54 11.10 (--*--) 123 49.62 17.71 VODAFONE (----) 36.0 42.0 48.0 54.0 Pooled StDev = 14.43

Conclusion:

• Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS percentage differs significantly on Advertising and Communication Experience amongst the four Operators.



• Reliance and Aircel are on lower side, Airtel and Vodafone on higher side

From the above ANOVA, we see that P value is either 0.000 or <0.05 for each of the above segments make Customer Satisfaction different from Service Provider to Service Provider on these parameters.

Hence, the Null Hypothesis (H_0): *There is <u>no</u> difference* in overall customer satisfaction level for the service providers from the above sub segments, is rejected and concluded that there is significant difference in overall customer satisfaction for the service providers for the above segments.

1.2. TEST OF HYPOTHESES AND CONCLUSIONS BASED ON CHI SQUARE TEST

The questionnaire was designed to capture verbal responses of respondents to the 94 questions. Accordingly 558 respondents multiplied by 94 questions per respondent is equal to 52452 verbal responses, including some blank ones (where customer did not respond) were obtained.

However there were 5 different types of verbal responses covering 94 questions.

These 52452 responses which of 22 different types were standardized to only 5 types to facilitate analysis.

Verbal Responses to 95 questions, broadly classified into five different types of questions, asked to the respondents as part of the Data Collection activity									
Category 1 questions to which the answers are one of the five options given below	Category 2 questions to which the answers are one of the five options given below	Category 3 Questions to which the answers are one of the five options given below	Category 4 questions to which the answers are one of the five options given below	Category 5 questions to which the answers are one of the five options given below					
Strongly Agree	Excellent	Extremely Likely	Very Low	Yes					
Agree	Very Good	Very Likely	Low	-					
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Good	Somewhat Likely	Moderate	-					
Disagree	Fair	Not Very Likely	High	-					
Strongly Disagree	Poor	Not At All Likely	Very High	No					

The survey responses were based on verbal responses listed below

Verbal Respon questions, a	Equivalent Phrase Standardising the 5 different types of Responses				
Category 1 questions to which the answers are one of the five options given below	Category 2 questions to which the answers are one of the five options given below	Category 3 Questions to which the answers are one of the five options given below	Category 4 questions to which the answers are one of the five options given below	Category 5 questions to which the answers are one of the five options given below	5 -Point Standardised Scale
Strongly Agree	Excellent	Extremely Likely	Very Low	Yes	Most Favourable
Agree	Very Good	Very Likely	Low	-	Favourable
Neither Agree Nor Disagree	Good	Somewhat Likely	Moderate	-	Non Committal
Disagree	Fair	Not Very Likely	High	-	Unfavourable
Strongly Disagree	Poor	Not At All Likely	Very High	No	Most Unfavourable

The need for standardising arises because all 95 questions are not having the same response options in terms of number as well as content/description

For example: We cannot add frequency of strongly agree and excellent, apples and oranges cannot be clubbed together, unless two phrases are reduced to the same common unit.

However, because Strongly Agree and Excellent both the phrases indicate highest level of satisfaction given the structuring of the question, we can safely standardise the two different phrases as "Most favourable" and same applies to other Reponses and their corresponding standardised phrase.

Having standardised the nomenclature it is possible now to combine all the responses to arrive at overall customer satisfaction, which was not possible in the absence of a standardises nomenclature.

The most appropriate analysis to analyses the verbal data is Frequency Chi Square Test.

Now after the needed standardised was done, Chi Sq Test was performed in respect of each of the 4 Research Hypotheses the results of which are given below:

Hypothesis: There does exist service provider to service provider difference in overall customer satisfaction.

Based on Frequency χ^2 Test performed on the standardised responses, it is established that there is differential customer satisfaction level from Service Provider to Service Provider establishing the validity of the above Hypothesis

Service Provider		Most Unfavourable	Unfavourable	Non Committal	Favourable	Most Favourable
	Observed Frequency	47	400	2332	1258	106
AIRCEL	Expected Frequency	138	510	1844	1384	267
	Chi Square Value	60.3	23.6	129.2	11.5	97.1
					•	
	Observed Frequency	172	545	3236	3983	954
AIRTEL	Expected Frequency	297	1094	3957	2970	573
	Chi Square Value	52.5	275.4	131.3	345.8	253.5
RELIANCE	Observed Frequency	1030	3385	8954	4099	477
	Expected Frequency	599	2208	7987	5994	1156
	Chi Square Value	309.5	627.3	117.1	599.3	399.2
	Observed Frequency	88	596	3296	4033	1043
VODAFONE	Expected Frequency	302	1114	4031	3025	584
	Chi Square Value	152	241.1	133.9	335.8	361.6

Pearson Chi-Square = 4657.138, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000 Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square = 4762.686, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000

The cell highlighted in green represents performance better than expected and red vice versa

Hypothesis: There does exist location to location difference in overall customer satisfaction.

Based on Frequency χ^2 Test performed on the standardised responses, it is established that there is differential customer satisfaction level from location to location establishing the validity of the above Hypothesis

Locations		Most Unfavourable	Unfavourable	Non Committal	Favourable	Most Favourable
	Observed Frequency	39	236	996	309	32
Agartala	Expected Frequency	53.8	198.3	717.5	538.5	103.9
	Chi Square Value	4.09	7.15	108.14	97.79	49.74
	Observed Frequency	12	328	1763	882	21
Aizwal	Expected Frequency	100.4	369.9	1337.9	1004.1	193.7
	Chi Square Value	77.82	4.74	135.08	14.85	154
	Observed Frequency	93	252	1412	1415	106
Dibrugarh	Expected Frequency	109.5	403.3	1458.9	1095	211.3
	Chi Square Value	2.48	56.79	1.51	93.52	52.44
	Observed Frequency	237	1089	4007	5180	1551
Guwahati	Expected Frequency	402.9	1484.4	5369.3	4029.9	777.5
	Chi Square Value	68.31	105.33	345.66	328.25	769.62
	Observed Frequency	628	1384	3598	1777	195
Imphal	Expected Frequency	253.2	932.9	3374.5	2532.7	488.6
	Chi Square Value	554.73	218.09	14.8	225.48	176.44
	Observed Frequency	83	207	633	484	166
Nalbari	Expected Frequency	52.5	193.6	700.1	525.4	101.4
	Chi Square Value	17.67	0.93	6.43	3.27	41.2
	Observed Frequency	48	600	2506	1523	184
Shillong	Expected Frequency	162.3	598.1	2163.5	1623.8	313.3
	Chi Square Value	80.53	0.01	54.22	6.25	53.34
	Observed Frequency	60	364	1787	619	92
Silchar	Expected Frequency	97.6	359.5	1300.5	976.1	188.3
	Chi Square Value	14.48	0.06	181.99	130.62	49.26
	Observed Frequency	137	466	1116	1184	233
Tezpur	Expected Frequency	104.7	385.9	1395.7	1047.6	202.1
	Chi Square Value	9.94	16.64	56.07	17.77	4.72

Pearson Chi-Square = 4412.286, DF = 32, P-Value = 0.000 Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square = 4370.500, DF = 32, P-Value = 0.000

The cell highlighted in green represents performance better than expected and red vice versa

Hypothesis: There does exist Circle to Circle difference in overall customer satisfaction.

Based on Frequency χ^2 Test performed on the standardised responses, it is established that there is differential customer satisfaction level from Circle to Circle establishing the validity of the above Hypothesis

Circle	Observed/Expected/Chi	Most Unfavourable	Unfavourable	Non Committal	Favourable	Most Favourable
	Observed Frequency	550	2014	7168	8263	2056
Assam	Expected Frequency	670	2467	8924	6698	1292
	Chi Square Value	21.4	83.2	345.6	365.7	451.5
	Observed Frequency	787	2912	10650	5110	524
NE	Expected Frequency	667	2459	8894	6675	1288
	Chi Square Value	21.4	83.5	346.8	367	453

Pearson Chi-Square = 2539.154, DF = 4, P-Value = 0.000 Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square = 2614.569, DF = 4, P-Value = 0.000

The cell highlighted in green represents performance better than expected and red vice versa

Hypothesis: There does exist Service provider to service provider difference in customer satisfaction with respect to each of the 10 Service Parameters:

- a) Cost
- b) Brand
- c) Customer Loyalty
- d) Network
- e) Billing
- f) Call Center
- g) Store
- h) Tariff Plan
- i) Value Added Service
- j) Advertising and Communication

Based on Frequency χ^2 Test performed on the standardised responses, it is established that there is differential customer satisfaction level from Service Provider to Service Provider for each of 10 Service Parameters establishing the validity of the above Hypotheses

The cell highlighted in green represents performance better than expected and red vice versa

Cost		Most Unfavourable	Unfavourable	Non Committal	Favourable	Most Favourable
	Observed Frequency	0	27	184	62	5
AIRCEL	Expected Frequency	2.44	36.79	159.04	73.69	6.04
	Chi Square Value	2.439	2.606	3.918	1.854	0.18
	Observed Frequency	4	59	275	234	21
AIRTEL	Expected Frequency	5.2	78.48	339.24	157.18	12.89
	Chi Square Value	0.278	4.835	12.166	37.541	5.1
RELIANCE	Observed Frequency	19	215	730	189	4
	Expected Frequency	10.15	153.12	661.9	306.68	25.15
	Chi Square Value	7.719	25.008	7.007	45.156	17.788
	Observed Frequency	0	46	311	210	27
VODAFONE	Expected Frequency	5.21	78.61	339.82	157.45	12.91
	Chi Square Value	5.211	13.528	2.444	17.54	15.368

Pearson Chi-Square = 227.684, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000

Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square = 240.558, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000
Brand		Most Unfavourable	Unfavourable	Non Committal	Favourable	Most Favourable
	Observed Frequency	0	39	343	312	20
AIRCEL	Expected Frequency	10.8	75.5	276.8	298	52.8
	Chi Square Value	10.82	17.66	15.82	0.66	20.39
				•	•	
AIRTEL	Observed Frequency	3	50	405	817	200
	Expected Frequency	22.4	156	571.9	615.7	109.1
	Chi Square Value	16.75	72.04	48.68	65.84	75.73
	· ·					
	Observed Frequency	96	535	1432	884	59
RELIANCE	Expected Frequency	45.6	318	1165.4	1254.7	222.3
	Chi Square Value	55.87	148.14	60.98	109.53	120
	Observed Frequency	2	81	404	769	214
VUDAFONE	Expected Frequency	22.3	155.5	569.9	613.6	108.7
	Chi Square Value	18.46	35.69	48.3	39.37	101.91

Pearson Chi-Square = 1082.644, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000 Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square = 1142.539, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000

Customer Loyalty		Most Unfavourable	Unfavourable	Non Committal	Favourable	Most Favourable
	Observed Frequency	10	47	102	179	16
AIRCEL	Expected Frequency	17.4	55.2	104.6	155.3	21.6
	Chi Square Value	3.12	1.209	0.064	3.625	1.46
				•		
AIRTEL	Observed Frequency	42	74	181	353	78
	Expected Frequency	35.7	113.4	215.1	319.3	44.5
	Chi Square Value	1.112	13.717	5.397	3.552	25.303
	· ·			•		
	Observed Frequency	68	315	528	553	38
RELIANCE	Expected Frequency	73.7	234.1	443.7	658.8	91.7
	Chi Square Value	0.434	27.985	16.003	16.997	31.471
	Observed Frequency	43	82	171	373	71
VUDAFUNE	Expected Frequency	36.3	115.3	218.6	324.6	45.2
	Chi Square Value	1.242	9.627	10.371	7.222	14.737

 $\label{eq:Pearson Chi-Square = 194.648, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000 \\ Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square = 198.291, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000 \\ \end{tabular}$

Network		Most Unfavourable	Unfavourable	Non Committal	Favourable	Most Favourable
	Observed Frequency	0	49	299	139	2
AIRCEL	Expected Frequency	34.9	82.7	197.6	131	42.8
	Chi Square Value	34.89	13.72	52.02	0.49	38.91
AIRTEL	Observed Frequency	18	64	367	384	197
	Expected Frequency	73.5	174.2	416.2	275.9	90.2
	Chi Square Value	41.9	69.67	5.82	42.33	126.48
	Observed Frequency	304	571	864	303	14
RELIANCE	Expected Frequency	146.7	347.6	830.8	550.8	180
	Chi Square Value	168.67	143.53	1.32	111.47	153.13
	Observed Frequency	8	98	339	413	192
VUDAFUNE	Expected Frequency	74.9	177.5	424.3	281.3	91.9
	Chi Square Value	59.77	35.63	17.15	61.68	108.88

Pearson Chi-Square = 1287.467, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000 Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square = 1430.288, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000

Billing		Most Unfavourable	Unfavourable	Non Committal	Favourable	Most Favourable
	Observed Frequency	18	82	468	202	20
AIRCEL	Expected Frequency	28.7	78.4	376.3	259.7	47
	Chi Square Value	3.96	0.16	22.37	12.82	15.48
	Observed Frequency	35	78	587	756	153
AIRTEL	Expected Frequency	58.4	159.7	766.3	528.9	95.7
	Chi Square Value	9.35	41.81	41.96	97.46	34.38
	· · ·	·				
	Observed Frequency	206	493	1807	708	107
RELIANCE	Expected Frequency	120.5	329.7	1581.7	1091.8	197.4
	Chi Square Value	60.76	80.94	32.09	134.89	41.42
	•					
	Observed Frequency	7	75	631	745	156
VUDAFUNE	Expected Frequency	58.5	160.2	768.7	530.6	96
	Chi Square Value	45.38	45.32	24.67	86.64	37.58

Pearson Chi-Square = 869.451, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000

Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square = 901.781, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000

Call Center		Most Unfavourable	Unfavourable	Non Committal	Favourable	Most Favourable
	Observed Frequency	9	29	102	43	8
AIRCEL	Expected Frequency	19.4	28.2	80.49	56.98	5.92
	Chi Square Value	5.579	0.023	5.745	3.43	0.731
	Observed Frequency	22	41	191	264	36
AIRTEL	Expected Frequency	56.28	81.8	233.48	165.27	17.17
	Chi Square Value	20.882	20.35	7.728	58.98	20.647
	·					
	Observed Frequency	205	233	448	166	5
RELIANCE	Expected Frequency	107.38	156.07	445.46	315.33	32.76
	Chi Square Value	88.738	37.92	0.014	70.715	23.524
	Observed Frequency	0	40	238	220	23
VODAFONE	Expected Frequency	52.93	76.93	219.57	155.43	16.15
	Chi Square Value	52.93	17.726	1.547	26.829	2.907

Pearson Chi-Square = 466.945, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000 Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square = 522.957, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000

Store Experience		Most Unfavourable	Unfavourable	Non Committal	Favourable	Most Favourable
	Observed Frequency	0	5	39	33	0
AIRCEL	Expected Frequency	1.14	5.48	26.49	35.31	8.58
	Chi Square Value	1.144	0.042	5.905	0.151	8.577
AIRTEL	Observed Frequency	0	3	69	193	50
	Expected Frequency	4.68	22.42	108.38	144.44	35.09
	Chi Square Value	4.678	16.818	14.308	16.326	6.339
	Observed Frequency	24	97	336	257	50
RELIANCE	Expected Frequency	11.35	54.37	262.86	350.32	85.1
	Chi Square Value	14.111	33.428	20.35	24.861	14.477
	Observed Frequency	0	10	112	258	80
VUDAFONE	Expected Frequency	6.83	32.74	158.27	210.93	51.24
	Chi Square Value	6.832	15.79	13.526	10.505	16.146

 $\label{eq:Pearson Chi-Square = 244.311, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000} \\ Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square = 272.587, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000 \\ \end{array}$

Tariff Plan		Most Unfavourable	Unfavourable	Non Committal	Favourable	Most Favourable		
	Observed Frequency	0	33	276	88	8		
AIRCEL	Expected Frequency	6.22	47.57	213.96	124.27	12.98		
	Chi Square Value	6.219	4.463	17.992	10.587	1.913		
AIRTEL	Observed Frequency	24	51	396	333	36		
	Expected Frequency	12.9	98.66	443.76	257.75	26.93		
	Chi Square Value	9.554	23.026	5.14	21.971	3.056		
	Observed Frequency	27	304	915	351	25		
RELIANCE	Expected Frequency	24.91	190.52	856.88	497.7	52		
	Chi Square Value	0.176	67.6	3.942	43.24	14.018		
	Observed Frequency	6	48	374	367	50		
VUDAFUNE	Expected Frequency	12.98	99.25	446.4	259.28	27.09		
	Chi Square Value	3.75	26.465	11.743	44.751	19.377		

Pearson Chi-Square = 338.982, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000 Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square = 343.500, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000

Value Added Service		Most Unfavourable	Unfavourable	Non Committal	Favourable	Most Favourable
	Observed Frequency	6	65	185	80	1
AIRCEL	Expected Frequency	11.11	72.03	169.32	76.71	7.83
	Chi Square Value	2.349	0.686	1.452	0.141	5.962
	Observed Frequency	15	86	333	190	26
AIRTEL	Expected Frequency	21.43	138.93	326.58	147.95	15.11
	Chi Square Value	1.927	20.166	0.126	11.95	7.847
	Observed Frequency	57	369	603	167	14
RELIANCE	Expected Frequency	39.89	258.63	607.94	275.42	28.13
	Chi Square Value	7.344	47.104	0.04	42.68	7.097
	Observed Frequency	17	96	327	219	26
VUDAFONE	Expected Frequency	22.58	146.41	344.16	155.92	15.92
	Chi Square Value	1.379	17.358	0.856	25.521	6.374

 $\label{eq:Pearson Chi-Square = 208.360, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000} \\ Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square = 214.348, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000 \\ \end{array}$

Advertising & Communication		Most Unfavourable	Unfavourable	Non Committal	Favourable	Most Favourable
	Observed Frequency	6	65	185	80	1
AIRCEL	Expected Frequency	11.11	72.03	169.32	76.71	7.83
	Chi Square Value	2.349	0.686	1.452	0.141	5.962
AIRTEL	Observed Frequency	15	86	333	190	26
	Expected Frequency	21.43	138.93	326.58	147.95	15.11
	Chi Square Value	1.927	20.166	0.126	11.95	7.847
	Observed Frequency	57	369	603	167	14
RELIANCE	Expected Frequency	39.89	258.63	607.94	275.42	28.13
	Chi Square Value	7.344	47.104	0.04	42.68	7.097
	Observed Frequency	17	96	327	219	26
VODAFONE	Expected Frequency	22.58	146.41	344.16	155.92	15.92
	Chi Square Value	1.379	17.358	0.856	25.521	6.374

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Pearson Chi-Square = 208.360, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000} \\ \mbox{Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square = 214.348, DF = 12, P-Value = 0.000} \end{array}$

1.2. MACRO ANALYSIS- ANALYSIS OF CUSTOMER SATISFACTION ON 10 BROAD PARAMETERS

(Part of the below Analysis has been presented at the following International Conferences:

- 3rd IIM A International Conference on Advanced Data Analysis, Business Analytics and Intelligence. April 13-14, 2013, IIM Ahmedabad
- 7th IIM A Doctoral Colloquium, Dec 9-10, 2013, IIM Ahmedabad)

One-way ANOVA: CS on Cost Perception versus Circles

Source Circles Error Total	D1 5 5 55 55	5 SS 1 5815 6 86660 7 92476	5 MS 5 5815 0 156 5	F 37.31	P 0.000			
S = 12	.48	R-Sq =	6.29%	R-Sq(a	udj) = 6.12	00		
T	N	Maar	ChDees	Individ Pooled	lual 95% CI StDev	s For Mean	Based on	
Assam	N 275	Mean 34.56	14.37	+	·+	+	+)	-
NE	283	28.10	10.33	(*-	·) ·+	+		_
				27.5	30.0	32.5	35.0	

Pooled StDev = 12.48

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Cost Perception differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- > CS on Cost Perception is more in Assam when compared with NE

> Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the Cost Perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Cost Perception versus Locations

Source DF SS MS F P Locations 8 7596 950 6.14 0.000 Error 549 84880 155 Total 557 92476 S = 12.43 R-Sq = 8.21% R-Sq(adj) = 6.88% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev
 --+----++

 Agartala
 23
 30.46
 11.08
 (------+

 Aizwal
 43
 27.75
 4.71
 (------+)

 Dibrugarh
 45
 33.35
 11.90
 (------ (-----) (-----)

 Guwahati
 168
 35.67
 14.75
 (

 Imphal
 106
 26.31
 10.68
 (-----)

 Nalbari
 22
 28.54
 9.57
 (-----+)

 (----)

 Shillong
 69
 29.04
 9.53
 (-----*----)

 Silchar
 42
 30.15
 13.59
 (-----*-----)

 Tezpur
 40
 34.56
 16.81
 (---
 Silchar4230.1513.59Tezpur4034.5616.81 (-----) (-----) 24.0 28.0 32.0 36.0

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Cost Perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- > CS on Cost Perception is very low for Nalbari, Aizwal and Shillong
- Recommendation
 - Operators need to focus more on areas like Nalbari, Aizwal and Shillong for improving the Cost Perception amongst customers

Pooled StDev = 12.43

One-way ANOVA: CS on Cost Perception versus Service Provider

 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Service Provider
 3
 10742
 3581
 24.27
 0.000

 Error
 554
 81734
 148

 Total
 557
 92476

 S = 12.15
 R-Sq = 11.62%
 R-Sq(adj) = 11.14%

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev

 Level
 N
 Mean StDev

 AIRCEL
 60
 30.47
 11.93

 AIRTEL
 123
 36.10
 13.18

 RELIANCE GSM
 251
 26.78
 9.33

 VODAFONE
 124
 36.01
 15.71

 28.0
 31.5

```
Pooled StDev = 12.15
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Cost Perception differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on Cost Perception is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the Cost Perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Cost Perception versus Age on Network

Source DF SS MS F P Age on Network 3 2236 745 4.58 0.004 Error55490240163Total55792476 S = 12.76 R-Sq = 2.42% R-Sq(adj) = 1.89% Level N Mean StDev

 13 months - Two years
 128
 29.34
 10.88

 3 months - 6 months
 39
 34.62
 13.91

 7 months - One year
 126
 28.96
 9.69

 More than 2 years
 265
 32.84
 14.58

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level 13 months - Two years (-----) 3 months - 6 months (----7 months - One year (----*----) (-----) More than 2 years (----) 27.0 30.0 33.0 36.0

```
Pooled StDev = 12.76
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.004) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Cost Perception differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on Cost Perception is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the Cost Perception amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years Age on network.

One-way ANOVA: CS on Cost Perception versus Average bill amount

Source	DF	SS	MS	F		P
Average bill amount	2	75	37	0.22	0.79	9
Error	555	92401	166			
Total	557	92476				
S = 12.90 R-Sq =	0.08%	R-Sq	(adj)	= 0.00	19	
Level More than Rs 2000	N 17	Mean 33.35	StDev 16.98	7 3		
Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000	496	31.21	12.08	3		
Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000	45	31.28	18.77	7		
Level	Indi Poole	vidual 9 ed StDev	95% CI v	Is For	Mean	Based on
More than Bs 2000	(*)
$R_{S} = 0 - R_{S} = 1000$	`	(-*)			/
Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000	(_*		-)	
	+-		+		, +	
	28.0	31	1.5	35.	0	38.5

Pooled StDev = 12.90

> Interpretation

Since P value (0.799) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on Cost Perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another.

		١	/ariable Analysed:	CS on Cost Perce	eption		
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE		NE	-	Assam
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala, Aizwal, Imphal, Silchar & Shillong.	Dibrugarh and Nalbari	Guwahati & Tezpur
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone		Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone
4	Age on Network	0.004	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	7-12, 12-24	-	3-6 and >24 months
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.799	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same f element	or all the strat s (0-1000, 100 >2000 rupees	ification 00-2000,)

One-way ANOVA: CS on Brand Perception versus Circles

```
Pooled StDev = 15.30
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Brand Perception differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- ▶ CS on Brand Perception is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

•

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the Brand Perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Brand Perception versus Locations

Pooled StDev = 14.98

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Brand Perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on Brand Perception is very low for Agartala and Imphal

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala and Imphal for improving the Brand Perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Brand Perception versus Service Provider

```
Pooled StDev = 14.03
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Brand Perception differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on Cost Perception is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the Brand Perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Brand Perception versus Age on Network

 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Age on Network
 3
 5507
 1836
 7.20
 0.000
 Error 553 141073 255 Total 556 146580 S = 15.97 R-Sq = 3.76% R-Sq(adj) = 3.23% Level N Mean StDev 13 months - Two years 128 37.68 15.24

 3 months - 6 months
 39
 48.05
 20.76

 7 months - One year
 126
 35.66
 13.24

 More than 2 years
 264
 40.70
 16.69

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level (----*---) 13 months - Two years (----) 3 months - 6 months 7 months - One year (---*---) More than 2 years (--*--) More than 2 years 36.0 42.0 48.0 54.0

```
Pooled StDev = 15.97
```

Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Brand Perception differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on Brand Perception is low for customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the Brand Perception amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: CS on Brand Perception versus Average bill amount

DF MS SS F Ρ Source
 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Average bill amount
 2
 1192
 596
 2.27
 0.104

 Error
 554
 145388
 262

 Total
 556
 146580
 Error S = 16.20 R-Sq = 0.81% R-Sq(adj) = 0.45% Level N Mean StDev More than Rs 2000 17 47.55 17.08 Level N Mean StDev Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000 495 39.06 15.64 Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 45 39.78 21.27 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level More than Rs 2000 Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000 (-----) (-*-) Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 (-----*----) 36.0 42.0 48.0 54.0

```
Pooled StDev = 16.20
```

Interpretation

• Since P value (0.104) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on Brand Perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

Variable Analysed: CS on Brand Perception									
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS		
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam		
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Imphal	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Guwahati		
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone		
4	Age on Network	0	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	7-12 and 13-24	>24	41704		
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.104	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same for all the stratification elements (0-1000, 1000-2000, >2000 rupees)				

One-way ANOVA: CS on Customer Loyalty versus Circles

Pooled StDev = 13.63

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Customer Loyalty differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on Customer Loyalty is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the Customer Loyalty amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Customer Loyalty versus Locations

Pooled StDev = 13.33

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Customer Loyalty differs significantly from one location to another.
- > CS on Customer Loyalty is very low for Agartala, Imphal and Tezpur

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala, Imphal and Tezpur for improving the Customer Loyalty amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Customer Loyalty versus Service Provider

```
Pooled StDev = 13.13
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Customer Loyalty differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on Customer Loyalty is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the Customer Loyalty amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Customer Loyalty versus Age on Network

```
Source
            DF
                  SS MS
                             F
                                  P
Age on Network 3 1897 632 3.30 0.020
Error554106009191Total557107905
S = 13.83 R-Sq = 1.76% R-Sq(adj) = 1.23%
Level
                    N Mean StDev
13 months - Two years12836.0211.993 months - 6 months3941.4814.027 months - One year12635.6412.70More than 2 years26538.9915.09
                  Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                  Pooled StDev
Level
                  13 months - Two years (-----*----)
3 months - 6 months
                           (-----)
7 months - One year (-----)
More than 2 years
                       (---*---)
                  35.0 38.5 42.0 45.5
```

```
Pooled StDev = 13.83
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.004) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Customer Loyalty differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on Customer Loyalty is low for customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the Customer Loyalty amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network.

One-way ANOVA: CS on Customer Loyalty versus Average bill amount

SourceDFSSMSFPAverage bill amount2100500.260.774 Error 555 107806 194 Total 557 107905 S = 13.94 R-Sq = 0.09% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00% Level N Mean StDev More than Rs 20001737.6815.34Rs. 0 - Rs. 100049637.6013.38 Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 45 39.15 18.74 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level More than Rs 2000 (-----) Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000 (--*---) Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 (-----) 31.5 35.0 38.5 42.0

Pooled StDev = 13.94

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.774) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on Customer Loyalty does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

Variable Analysed: CS on Customer Loyalty									
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS		
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam		
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes		Agartala, Aizwal, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Dibrugarh and Guwahati		
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone		
4	Age on Network	0.02	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	7-12 and 13- 24	>24	41704		
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.774	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same for all the stratification elements (0-1000, 1000-2000, >2000 rupees)				

One-way ANOVA: CS on Network Experience versus Circles

```
Pooled StDev = 19.99
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Network Experience differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on Network Experience is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the Network Experience amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Network Experience versus Locations

Source DF SS MS F Ρ Locations 8 49599 6200 16.15 0.000 Error 549 210774 384 Total 557 260373 S = 19.59 R-Sq = 19.05% R-Sq(adj) = 17.87% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev
 ----+

 Agartala
 23
 29.64
 11.75
 (------)

 Aizwal
 43
 26.89
 7.88
 (------)
 Dibrugarh 45 33.28 14.18 (-----*----) Guwahati 168 47.52 28.04 (--*---)

 Guwahati
 168
 47.52
 28.04
 (----

 Imphal
 106
 23.34
 13.68
 (-----)

 Nalbari
 22
 39.02
 23.90
 (------)

 Shillong
 69
 28.15
 10.93
 (------)

 Silchar
 42
 28.72
 11.17
 (------)

 Tezpur
 40
 36.58
 22.70
 (-------)

 24.0 32.0 40.0 48.0

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Network Experience differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on Network Experience is very low for Agartala, Aizwal, Silchar and Shillong

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala, Aizwal, Silchar and Shillong for improving the Network Experience amongst customers

Pooled StDev = 19.59

One-way ANOVA: CS on Network Experience versus Service Provider

```
Pooled StDev = 18.35
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Network Experience differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on Network Experience is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the Network Experience amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Network Experience versus Age on Network

DF Source SS MS F Ρ Age on Network 3 11687 3896 8.68 0.000 Error554248686449Total557260373 S = 21.19 R-Sq = 4.49% R-Sq(adj) = 3.97% Level N Mean StDev 13 months - Two years 128 30.20 17.59

 3 months - 6 months
 39
 45.88
 25.57

 7 months - One year
 126
 30.03
 16.37

 More than 2 years
 265
 37.08
 23.92

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level 13 months - Two years (----*---) 3 months - 6 months (-----) (----) 7 months - One year More than 2 years (---*---) 28.0 35.0 42.0 49.0

```
Pooled StDev = 21.19
```

Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Network Experience differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on Network Experience is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the Network Experience amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: CS on Network Experience versus Average bill amount

 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Average bill amount
 2
 354
 177
 0.38
 0.685
 Error Total 555 260019 469 557 260373 S = 21.64 R-Sq = 0.14% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level Ν Mean StDev -----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+---___ Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 45 33.25 24.85 (-----*----) ----+----+----+----+----+----+---___ 30.0 36.0 42.0 48.0

```
Pooled StDev = 21.64
```

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.685) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on Network Experience does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

Variable Analysed: CS on Network Experience								
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS	
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam	
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Aizwal, Agartala, Imphal, Shillong, Silchar	Dibrugarh, NalbariTezpur	Guwahati	
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone	
4	Age on Network	0	3-6, 7-12, 12- 24, >24 months	Yes	7-12 and 13-24	>24	41704	
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.685	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same for all the stratification elements (0-1000, 1000-2000, >2000 rupees)			

One-way ANOVA: CS on Billing Experience versus Circles

Pooled StDev = 14.48

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Billing Experience differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on Billing Experience is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the Billing Experience amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Billing Experience versus Locations

Pooled StDev = 14.12

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Billing Experience differs significantly from one location to another.
- > CS on Billing Experience is very low for Agartala, Aizwal, Imphal and Silchar

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala, Aizwal, Imphal and Silchar for improving the Billing Experience amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Billing Experience versus Service Provider

```
Pooled StDev = 14.25
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Billing Experience differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on Billing Experience is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the Billing Experience amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Billing Experience versus Age on Network

DF SS MS F P Source Age on Network 3 5305 1768 7.54 0.000 Error 554 129979 235 Total 557 135283 S = 15.32 R-Sq = 3.92% R-Sq(adj) = 3.40% N Mean StDev Level 13 months - Two years12831.9412.353 months - 6 months3942.5315.867 months - One year12633.8213.23More than 2 years26537.8817.32 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level 13 months - Two years (----) 3 months - 6 months 7 months - One year (-----) (----) More than 2 years (---*--) 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0

```
Pooled StDev = 15.32
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Billing Experience differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on Billing Experience is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the Billing Experience amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: CS on Billing Experience versus Average bill amount

Pooled StDev = 15.60

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.745) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on Billing Experience does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

Variable Analysed: CS on Billing Experience									
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS		
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam		
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala, Aizwal	Dibrugarh, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Guwahati		
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance, Aircel	-	Airtel & Vodafone		
4	Age on Network	0	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	7-12 and 13-24	>24	41704		
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.745	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same for all the stratification elements (0-1000, 1000-2000, >2000 rupees)				

One-way ANOVA: CS on Call Center Experience versus Circles

Source DF SS MS F P Circles 1 3794 3794 Error 194 44301 228 Total 195 48095 S = 15.11 R-Sq = 7.89% R-Sq(adj) = 7.41% Level N Mean StDev Assam 109 34.96 16.07 NE 87 26.10 13.81 (-----+ 24.0 28.0 32.0 36.0

```
Pooled StDev = 15.11
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Call Center Experience differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- > CS on Call Center Experience is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the Call Center Experience amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Call Center Experience versus Locations

 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Locations
 8
 6581
 823
 3.71
 0.000

 Error
 187
 41514
 222

 Total
 195
 48095

 S = 14.90
 R-Sq = 13.68%
 R-Sq(adj) = 9.99%

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev

 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev

 Agartala
 8
 26.63
 13.64

 10
 32.63
 4.96
 (----------)

 Dibrugarh
 17
 29.95
 8.26
 (--------)

 Guwahati
 58
 37.47
 15.02
 (--------)

 Imphal
 36
 20.90
 15.18
 (--------)

 Nalbari
 8
 30.79
 24.97
 (---------)

 Silchar
 16
 29.36
 15.42
 (---------)

 Tezpur
 26
 33.90
 18.57
 (---------)

 21.0
 28.0
 35.0
 42.0

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Call Center Experience differs significantly from one location to another.
- > CS on Call Center Experience is very low for Agartala and Imphal

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala and Imphal for improving the Call Center Experience amongst customers

Pooled StDev = 14.90

One-way ANOVA: CS on Call Center Experience versus Service Provider

DF SS MS F Source Р Service Provider 3 11856 3952 20.94 0.000 Error 192 36240 189 Total 195 48095 S = 13.74 R-Sq = 24.65% R-Sq(adj) = 23.47% Level N Mean StDev AIRCEL 16 30.89 17.06 AIRTEL 47 40.11 16.64 Level N Mean StDev RELIANCE GSM 89 22.87 11.71 VODAFONE 44 37.87 12.82 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level AIRCEL AIRTEL (-----) (----) RELIANCE GSM (---*---) (----) VODAFONE 21.0 28.0 35.0 42.0

Pooled StDev = 13.74

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Call Center Experience differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on Call Center Experience is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the Call Center Experience amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Call Center Experience versus Age on Network

```
Pooled StDev = 15.40
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.014) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Call Center Experience differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on Call Center Experience is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the Call Center Experience amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: CS on Call Center Experience versus Average bill amount

```
DF SS MS F P
2 13 7 0.03 0.974
Source
Average bill amount
Error
                193 48082 249
Total
                195 48095
S = 15.78 R-Sq = 0.03% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00%
Level N Mean StDev
More than Rs 2000 6 32.04 6.49
Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000 166 30.92 15.74
Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 24 31.49 17.44
               Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
              Pooled StDev
                Level
More than Rs 2000 (-----)
Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000
                         (--*---)
                     (-----)
Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000
               21.0 28.0 35.0 42.0
```

Pooled StDev = 15.78

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.974) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on Call Center Experience does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

Variable Analysed: CS on Call Center Experience									
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS		
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam		
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala, Imphal	Dibrugarh,Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Guwahati		
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone		
4	Age on Network	0.014	3-6, 7-12, 12- 24, >24 months	Yes	7-12 and 13-24	>24	41704		
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.974	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same for all the stratification elements (0- 1000, 1000-2000, >2000 rupees)				

One-way ANOVA: CS on Stores Experience versus Circles

Source DF SS MS F P Circles 1 6830 6830 19.43 0.000 Error 124 43581 351 Total 125 50411 S = 18.75 R-Sq = 13.55% R-Sq(adj) = 12.85% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level N Mean StDev Assam 89 48.45 20.76 (------) NE 37 32.29 12.53 (------) 28.0 35.0 42.0 49.0

Pooled StDev = 18.75

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Store Experience differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on Store Experience is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the Store Experience amongst customers
One-way ANOVA: CS on Stores Experience versus Locations

 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Locations
 8
 9053
 1132
 3.20
 0.003

 Error
 117
 41358
 353

 Total
 125
 50411

 S = 18.80
 R-Sq = 17.96%
 R-Sq(adj) = 12.35%

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev

 Level
 N
 Mean StDev

 Agartala
 3
 43.62
 4.80

 Aizwal
 5
 31.73
 3.60

 Jibrugarh
 14
 49.31
 17.18

 Guwahati
 58
 50.39
 21.01

 Imphal
 18
 30.95
 12.81

 Malbari
 7
 39.97
 28.74

 Silchar
 6
 24.65
 0.85

 Imput
 10
 41.92
 17.71

 Imput
 10
 41.92
 17.71

 Imput
 10
 41.92
 17.71

 Imput
 15
 30
 45

Pooled StDev = 18.80

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.003) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Store Experience differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on Store Experience is very low for Aizwal, Imphal and Silchar

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Aizwal, Imphal and Silchar for improving the Store Experience amongst customers

One way ANOVA: CS on Stores Experience versus Service Provider

DF SS MS Source F Ρ Service Provider 3 8014 2671 7.69 0.000 Error 122 42397 348 Total 125 50411 S = 18.64 R-Sq = 15.90% R-Sq(adj) = 13.83% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev
 +----+

 AIRCEL
 6
 34.78
 10.66
 (------)

 AIRTEL
 25
 52.02
 17.70
 (------)

 25
 52.02
 17.70

 RELIANCE GSM
 59
 36.11
 18.73

 VODAFONE
 36
 51.87
 19.97
 (-----) (----) (-----) 20 30 40 50

```
Pooled StDev = 18.64
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Store Experience differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on Store Experience is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the Store Experience amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Stores Experience versus Age on Network

```
Source
            DF
                 SS MS
                          F
                                P
Age on Network 3 1534 511 1.28 0.286
Error 122 48877 401
Total 125 50411
S = 20.02 R-Sq = 3.04% R-Sq(adj) = 0.66%
Level
                  Ν
                     Mean StDev
13 months - Two years2639.1419.223 months - 6 months1150.8114.967 months - One year2740.8722.30More than 2 years6245.5920.03
                 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
Level
                  13 months - Two years (-----)
(-----)
More than 2 years (----- ,
-+------- ,
                  32.0 40.0 48.0 56.0
```

```
Pooled StDev = 20.02
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Store Experience differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on Store Experience is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the Store Experience amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: CS on Stores Experience versus Average bill amount

DF Source SS MS F Р 2 489 245 0.60 0.549 Average bill amount Error Total 123 49922 406 125 50411 S = 20.15 R-Sq = 0.97% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev
 -+-----+----+----+----+-----+

 More than Rs 2000
 6
 50.67
 13.78
 (-----+----)

 Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000
 107
 43.79
 20.29
 (----*---)
 Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 13 39.81 21.06 (-----*-----) 30 40 50 60

Pooled StDev = 20.15

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.549) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on Store Experience does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

		V	ariable Analysed: O	CS on Store Expe	rience		
Sr No	Stratification P SI Factor value		Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam
2	Location	0.003	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Silchar	Agartala, Aizwal, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Tezpur	Guwahati, Dibrugarh
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes		Aircel, Aircel	Reliance & Vodafone
4	Age on Network	0.286	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	NO	Same for all the stratification elements within various age or network		tification us age on
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.549	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same for all the stratifi elements (0-1000, 1000 >2000 rupees)		tification 100-2000, s)

One-way ANOVA: CS on Tariff Plan Perception versus Circles

Source DF SS MS F Ρ Circles 1 3919 3919 18.56 0.000 Error 551 116357 211 Total 552 120276 S = 14.53 R-Sq = 3.26% R-Sq(adj) = 3.08% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Assam 271 35.97 17.05 (-----) NE 282 30.64 11.60 (-----*----) 30.0 32.5 35.0 37.5

Pooled StDev = 14.53

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Tariff Plan Perception differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on Tariff Plan Perception is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the Tariff Plan Perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Tariff Plan Perception versus Locations

 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Locations
 8
 7908
 988
 4.79
 0.000

 Error
 544
 112368
 207

 Total
 552
 120276

 S = 14.37
 R-Sq = 6.57%
 R-Sq(adj) = 5.20%

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev

 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev

 Agartala
 23
 25.18
 8.75
 (--------)

 Aizwal
 43
 30.48
 4.78
 (--------)

 Dibrugarh
 45
 34.53
 12.78
 (--------)

 Guwahati
 164
 37.33
 17.39
 (--------)

 Malbari
 22
 32.56
 18.14
 (--------)

 Shillong
 69
 36.09
 14.12
 (--------)

 Silchar
 41
 28.38
 11.69
 (---------)

 Tezpur
 40
 33.86
 19.17
 (---------)

 24.0
 30.0
 36.0
 42.0

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Tariff Plan Perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- > CS on Tariff Plan Perception is very low for Agartala, Imphal and Silchar

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala, Imphal and Silchar for improving the Tariff Plan Perception amongst customers

Pooled StDev = 14.37

One-way ANOVA: CS on Tariff Plan Perception versus Service Provider

Source		DF	SS	MS	F	P			
Service Prov	ider	3 1	1124 3	3708	18.65	0.000			
Error	1	549 10	9152	199					
Total		552 12	0276						
IOCAL		JJZ IZ	0270						
a 14.10		0 0 5 0	D		0 750				
S = 14.10	K-Sq =	9.25%	R-Sq	(adj)	= 8.75%	5			
				Indi	vidual	95% CIs	For M	ean Based	on
				Pool	ed StDe	ev			
Level	Ν	Mean	StDev	+		+	+	+	
ATRCEL	60	31.10	11.84	(-		-*)		
ATRTFI.	122	36 79	16 03	((_*)	
DELINCE COM	247	20.72	11 07	,	+ \		()	
RELIANCE GSM	24/	28.93	11.97	()				
VODAFONE	124	39.42	16.75					(*-)
				+		+	+	+	
				28.0) 3	32.0	36.0	40.0	

Pooled StDev = 14.10

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Tariff Plan Perception differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on Tariff Plan Perception is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the Tariff Plan Perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Tariff Plan Perception versus Age on Network

DF Source SS MS F Ρ Age on Network 3 2677 892 4.17 0.006 Error 549 117599 214 Total 552 120276 S = 14.64 R-Sq = 2.23% R-Sq(adj) = 1.69% Level N Mean StDev 13 months - Two years 128 30.45 13.07

 3 months - 6 months
 39
 39.36
 15.12

 7 months - One year
 123
 32.56
 12.56

 More than 2 years
 263
 34.03
 16.11

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level 13 months - Two years (----*---) 3 months - 6 months 7 months - One year (-----) (----*----) More than 2 years (--*---) 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0

```
Pooled StDev = 14.64
```

Interpretation

- Since P value (0.006) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Tariff Plan Perception differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on Tariff Plan Perception is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the Tariff Plan Perception amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: CS on Tariff Plan Perception versus Average bill amount

Pooled StDev = 14.75

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.240) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on Tariff Plan Perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

	Variable Analysed: CS on Tariff Plan Perception										
Sr No	Stratification Factor	atification P S Factor value		Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS				
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam				
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala	Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Imphal, Nalbari, Silchar, Tezpur	Guwahati, Shillong				
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone				
4	Age on Network	0.006	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	7-12 and 13- 24	>24	41704				
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.24	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same for all the stratific elements (0-1000, 1000 >2000 rupees)		tification 00-2000, 5)				

One-way ANOVA: CS on VAS versus Circles

 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Circles
 1
 5490
 5490
 27.10
 0.000

 Error
 436
 88316
 203
 203

 Total
 437
 93806
 8-Sq(adj) = 5.64%

 S = 14.23
 R-Sq = 5.85%
 R-Sq(adj) = 5.64%

 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev

 Assam
 188
 32.71
 18.00

 NE
 250
 25.56
 10.55

 (-----+
 ---- -+-----

 24.0
 27.0
 30.0
 33.0

Pooled StDev = 14.23

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on VAS differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- > CS on VAS is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the VAS amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on VAS versus Locations

Source DF SS MS F Ρ Locations 8 8384 1048 5.26 0.000 Error 429 85422 199 Total 437 93806 S = 14.11 R-Sq = 8.94% R-Sq(adj) = 7.24% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev

 Agartala
 14
 21.75
 4.67

 Aizwal
 42
 29.46
 6.61

 Dibrugarh
 36
 30.49
 13.34
 (-----) (-----) (----)

 Dibrugarn
 36
 30.49
 13.34

 Guwahati
 102
 33.27
 18.70

 Imphal
 93
 22.25
 12.62

 Nalbari
 10
 32.05
 26.61

 Shillong
 68
 28.92
 9.41

 Silchar
 33
 24.59
 8.60

 Tezpur
 40
 33.45
 17.89

 (---*--) (---*---) (-----) (---*---) (-----) (-----) 14.0 21.0 28.0 35.0

Pooled StDev = 14.11

Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on VAS differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on VAS is very low for Shillong, Silchar and Agartala

Recommendation

 Operators need to focus more on areas like Shillong, Silchar and Agartala for improving the VAS amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on VAS versus Service Provider

Source		DF	SS	MS	F	P	
Service Prov	ider	3 7'	725 25	75 12	.98 (0.000	
Error		434 86	081 1	98			
Total		437 93	806				
100041		10, 50,					
c - 11 00	$P - S \alpha =$	0 210	D-Cal	adi) -	7 609	Ŀ	
5 - 14.00	K-54 -	0.24%	к-ра(auj) –	1.007	0	
							_
				Indiv	idual	95% CIs	For Mean Based on
				Poole	d StDe	ev	
Level	Ν	Mean	StDev		+	+	++
AIRCEL	50	28.36	10.01		(*_)
AIRTEL	99	32.45	16.80				()
RELIANCE GSM	189	24.10	11.82	(*)		X Z
VODAFONE	100	33 53	16 59	`	,		()
VODIII OIVE	100	55.55	10.00		L		
				24	·	20 0	22 0 26 0
				24.	0	28.0	32.0 36.0

```
Pooled StDev = 14.08
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on VAS differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- > CS on VAS is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

> Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the VAS amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on VAS versus Age on Network

Source DF SS MS F P Age on Network 3 1675 558 2.63 0.050 Error 434 92131 212 Total 437 93806 S = 14.57 R-Sq = 1.79% R-Sq(adj) = 1.11% Level N Mean StDev 13 months - Two years 97 26.99 12.02

 3 months - 6 months
 35
 34.55
 15.50

 7 months - One year
 102
 27.39
 13.23

 More than 2 years
 204
 29.01
 16.07

 35 34.55 15.50 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level 13 months - Two years (-----) 3 months - 6 months 7 months - One year (-----) (-----) (----*----) More than 2 years 24.0 28.0 32.0 36.0

Pooled StDev = 14.57

> Interpretation

Since P value is 0.05, the average CS on VAS does not differs significantly from one Age on network to another.

One-way ANOVA: CS on VAS versus Average bill amount

DF SS MS F Source Ρ 2 722 361 1.69 0.186 Average bill amount Error Total 435 93084 214 437 93806 S = 14.63 R-Sq = 0.77% R-Sq(adj) = 0.31% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev

 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev
 ---++----++----++----++----+

 More than Rs 2000
 14
 30.10
 16.59
 (-------)

 Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000
 388
 28.19
 13.71
 (--*--)

 Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000
 36
 32.77
 21.90
 (------)

 24.0 28.0 32.0 36.0

Pooled StDev = 14.63

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.186) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on VAS does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

	Variable Analysed: CS on VAS										
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS				
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam				
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala	Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Imphal, Shillong, Silchar,	Guwahati, Tezpur, Nalbari				
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone				
4	Age on Network 0.05 3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months		3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	7-12 and 13- 24	>24	41704				
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.186	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same for all the stra elements (0-1000, 10 >2000 rupee		tification 00-2000, 5)				

One-way ANOVA: CS on Advt. & Communication versus Circles

Pooled StDev = 14.91

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Advertising and Communication differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on Advertising and Communication is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the Advertising and Communication amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Advt. & Communication versus Locations

 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Locations
 8
 20397
 2550
 11.74
 0.000

 Error
 547
 118761
 217

 Total
 555
 139159

 S = 14.73
 R-Sq = 14.66%
 R-Sq(adj) = 13.41%

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev

 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev

 Agartala
 23
 30.74
 7.40
 (--------)

 Aizwal
 43
 31.10
 7.25
 (-------)

 Dibrugarh
 45
 39.96
 14.39
 (-------)

 Guwahati
 166
 47.51
 19.20
 (-------)

 Imphal
 106
 37.47
 10.93
 (-------)

 Shillong
 69
 36.92
 13.48
 (--------)

 Silchar
 42
 32.60
 13.47
 (--------)

 Tezpur
 40
 46.91
 14.33
 (--------)

 28.0
 35.0
 42.0
 49.0

Pooled StDev = 14.73

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Advertising and Communication differs significantly from one location to another.
- > CS on Advertising and Communication is very low for Agartala and Aizwal

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala and Aizwal for improving the Advertising and Communication amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Advt. & Communication versus Service Provider

```
Pooled StDev = 14.43
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Advertising and Communication differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on Advertising and Communication is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the Advertising and Communication amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: CS on Advt. & Communication versus Age on Network

```
DF
Source
                       SS MS F
                                             Ρ
Age on Network 3 5657 1886 7.80 0.000
Error552133501242Total555139159
S = 15.55 R-Sq = 4.07% R-Sq(adj) = 3.54%
Level
                        N Mean StDev
13 months - Two years 128 37.22
                                   13.08

      3 months - 6 months
      39
      49.17
      18.22

      7 months - One year
      125
      37.78
      14.13

      More than 2 years
      264
      41.79
      16.82

                      Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
Level
                        13 months - Two years (----*----)
3 months - 6 months
7 months - One year (-----)
                                             (-----)
35.0 40.0 45.0 50.0
```

```
Pooled StDev = 15.55
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Advertising and Communication differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on Advertising and Communication is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the Advertising and Communication amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: CS on Advt. & Communication versus Average bill amount

DF SS MS F Source Р DF 55 №5 F F 2 123 61 0.24 0.784 Average bill amount Error 553 139036 251 Total 555 139159 S = 15.86 R-Sq = 0.09% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level N Mean StDev

 More than Rs 2000
 17
 41.82
 12.00
 (------)

 Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000
 495
 40.19
 16.12
 (-----)

 Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000 495 40.19 16.12 (--*--) Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 44 41.64 13.97 (------) 36.0 40.0 44.0 48.0

Pooled StDev = 15.86

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.784) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on Advertising and Communication does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

		Varial	ole Analysed: CS o	n Advt. And Com	munication	ı	
Sr No	Stratification P Factor value		Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala, Aizwal	Dibrugarh, Imphal, Shillong, Silchar,	Guwahati, Tezpur, Nalbari
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance, Aircel	-	Airtel & Vodafone
4	Age on Network	0	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	7-12 and 13-24	>24	41704
5	Avg Bill 0-1000, 100 Amount 0.784 upper rupees		0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	Same for all the No elements (0-10 >2000		for all the strat ts (0-1000, 10 >2000 rupees	ification 00-2000, ;)

One-way ANOVA: CS on Cost Perception versus Age Group

Source D	Ε	SS MS	F	P
Age Group 2	6 2	95 49	0.29	0.940
Error 55	921	80 167		
Total 55	7 924	76		
S = 12.93 R-S	q = 0.	32% R	-Sq(adj	j) = 0.00%
	1		- 1 ()	
				Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
				Pooled StDev
Level	N	Mean	StDev	++++++
*	9	26.97	7.21	()
20-30	155	31.58	13.50	(*)
30-40	199	31.42	13.90	(*)
40-50	127	31.36	12.07	()
50-60	42	30.29	10.55	(*)
Greater than 60	18	32.26	10.59	(*)
Less than 20	8	28.75	9.45	()
				++++++
				20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0

Pooled StDev = 12.93

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.940) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on Cost Perception does not differs significantly from one Age group to another

	Variable Analysed: CS on Cost Perception									
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS			
1	Age Group	0.940	<20, 20-30, 30-40, 40-50, 50-60 and >60	No	Same fo element 40-5	or all the strat s (<20, 20-30 0, 50-60 and	ification , 30-40, >60)			

One-way ANOVA: CS on Call Center Experience versus Age Group_2

Source DF	5	SS M	S F	P			
Age Group 2 6	148	31 24	7 1.00	0.426			
Error 189	4661	L4 24	7				
Total 195	4809	95					
S = 15.70 R-Sq	= 3.0)8%	R-Sq(ad	i) = 0.00%			
Ĩ			1, 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
				Individual	95% CIs Fo	or Mean	Based on
				Pooled StD	ev		
Level	Ν	Mean	StDev	+	+	+	+
*	4 2	25.47	9.25	(*))	
20-30	79 3	31.59	16.30		(-*-)		
30-40	60 3	32.26	17.28		(-*)		
40-50	32 2	26.92	13.40	(-*)		
50-60	14 2	29.94	11.94	(-	*)		
Greater than 60	5 3	33.96	12.23	(*)	
Less than 20	2 4	18.96	1.47	Υ.	(*)
2000 011011 20	<u> </u>			+	+	+	·
				16	32	4.8	64
				± 0	52	10	1

Pooled StDev = 15.70

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.426) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on Call Center experience does not differs significantly from one Age group to another

	Variable Analysed: CS on Call Center Experience										
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS				
1	Age Group	0.426	<20, 20-30, 30-40, 40-50, 50-60 and >60	No	Same for all the stratification elements (<20, 20-30, 30-40, 40- 50, 50-60 and >60)						

One-way ANOVA	: CS on T	ariff Plan	Perception	versus Age	Group 2
•					

Source DF		SS M	S F	P	
Age Group 2 6		566 9	4 0.43	0.859	
Error 546	119	710 21	9		
Total 552	120	276			
S = 14.81 R-Sq	= 0.	47% R	-Sq(adj) = 0.00%	
-					
				Individual 95% CIs For Mean	Based on
				Pooled StDev	
Level	N	Mean	StDev	+	+
*	9	29.96	13.65	(****)
20-30	154	32.44	15.45	(*)	
30-40	197	34.17	14.75	(*)	
40-50	126	32.61	13.75	(*)	
50-60	41	35.00	17.40	(*)
Greater than 60	18	32.99	12.42	(**)
Less than 20	8	31.66	9.81	(****)
				+	+
				24.0 30.0 36.0	42.0

Pooled StDev = 14.81

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.859) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on Tariff Plan Perception does not differs significantly from one Age group to another

	Variable Analysed: Tariff Plan Perception											
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS					
1	Age Group	0.859	<20, 20-30, 30-40, 40-50, 50-60 and >60	No	Same fo element 40-5	or all the strat s (<20, 20-30 0, 50-60 and	ification , 30-40, >60)					

It is seen that in case of Age group the P value is >0.05 and hence, for none of the 10 broad parameters the perception across various age groups does not differ significantly.

One-way ANOVA: WCS %_Assam versus Service Provider_Assam

Source DF SS MS F P Service Provider Assam 3 12223 4074 35.02 0.000 Error Total 271 31532 116 274 43754 S = 10.79 R-Sq = 27.94% R-Sq(adj) = 27.14% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev
 --+----+

 AIRCEL
 15
 34.61
 7.17
 (------)

 AIRTEL
 80
 44.93
 11.85
 (----) RELIANCE GSM 100 31.26 8.46 (----*---) VODAFONE 80 45.33 12.64 (----) 30.0 35.0 40.0 45.0

```
Pooled StDev = 10.79
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average WCS of Assam differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- WCS of Assam is more for Vodafone and Airtel and low for Aircel and Reliance

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance needs to focus more on improving the WCS level amongst customers

	Variable Analysed: CS on Assam										
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS				
1	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance, Aircel	-	Airtel & Vodafone				

One-way ANOVA: WCS %_NE versus Service Provider_NE

Source Service Pro Error Total	ovider_N	DF E 3 279 282	SS 2651.5 12559.2 15210.7	MS 883.8 45.0	F 19.63	P 0.000	
S = 6.709	R-Sq =	17.43%	R-Sq(a	adj) = 1	6.54%		
				Individ	ual 95%	CIs For N	Mean Based on
				Pooled	StDev		
Level	Ν	Mean	StDev	+	+-		++
AIRCEL	45	32.786	7.789			(-*)
AIRTEL	43	34.790	7.501				()
RELIANCE GS	SM 151	28.140	6.219	(*)		
VODAFONE	44	34.861	6.333				()
				+	+-		+
				27.5	30.0	32.5	5 35.0

Pooled StDev = 6.709

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average WCS of NE differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- ➢ WCS of NE is extremely low for Reliance

Recommendation

• Reliance in NE needs to focus more on improving the WCS level amongst customers

	Variable Analysed: CS on NE										
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Statistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS				
1	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	-	Aircel, Airtel & Vodafone				

1.3. MICRO ANALYSIS: THE ANOVA GIVEN HERE ARE FOR SELECT QUESTIONS AND COMPARED WITH VARIABLES: CIRCLE, LOCATIONS, SERVICE PROVIDER, AGE ON NETWORK, AVG BILL

(Part of the below Analysis has been presented at the following International Conferences:

- 3rd IIM A International Conference on Advanced Data Analysis, Business Analytics and Intelligence. April 13-14, 2013, IIM Ahmedabad
- 7th IIM A Doctoral Colloquium, Dec 9-10, 2013, IIM Ahmedabad)

One-way ANOVA: Value for Money versus Circle

Source DF SS MS F P Circle 1 206.78 206.78 34.88 0.000 Error 556 3296.59 5.93 Total 557 3503.37 S = 2.435 R-Sq = 5.90% R-Sq(adj) = 5.73% Level N Mean StDev Assam 275 5.698 2.872 (-----+ NE 283 4.481 1.917 (----+ 4.50 5.00 5.50 6.00

Pooled StDev = 2.435

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on value for money differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- > CS on value for money is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the value for money perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Value for Money versus Locations

Source	DF	S	S MS	S F	P			
Locations	8	297.8	8 37.24	4 6.38	0.000			
Error	549	3205.4	9 5.8	4				
Total	557	3503.3	7					
S = 2.416	R-S	q = 8.5	0% R-3	Sq(adj)	= 7.17%			
				Indivi	dual 95%	CIs For	Mean Based	on
				Pooled	StDev			
Level	Ν	Mean	StDev		+	+	+	+
Agartala	23	4.261	1.936	(*_)	
Aizwal	43	4.605	1.545		(*)	
Dibrugarh	45	5.289	1.961			(*	-)
Guwahati	168	6.036	3.137				(-*)
Imphal	106	4.057	1.772	(–	*	—)		
Nalbari	22	4.909	2.942		(*_)
Shillong	69	4.957	1.959			(*-)	
Silchar	42	4.762	2.335		(*)	
Tezpur	40	5.175	2.341			(*)
					+	+		+
					4.00	4.80	5.60	6.40

```
Pooled StDev = 2.416
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on value for money perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- > CS on value for money is very low for Agartala, Aizwal and Imphal

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala, Aizwal and Imphal for improving the Value for money perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Value for Money versus Service Provider

Source		DF	SS	MS	F	P		
Service Provid	ler	3 3	95.44	131.81	23.50	0.000		
Error		554 31	07.93	5.61				
Total	1	557 35	03.37					
S = 2 369 B-	-sa =	11 29%	R-Sc	r(adi) =	10 81%			
5 2.005 10	59	11.200	10 00	1(44)/	10.010			
				Indivi	dual 959	CIS FO	r Mean B	ased on
				Pooled	S+Dav	0 015 10	i nean b	ubeu on
				roorea	DCDE V			
Level	Ν	Mean	StDev	+		-+	+	
AIRCEL	60	4.933	1.930		(_*)	
AIRTEL	123	6.098	2.768				(*)
RELIANCE GSM	251	4.219	1.991	(*)			
VODAFONE	124	5.887	2.797				(*)
				+		-+	+	
				4 20	4	90	5 60	6 30

```
Pooled StDev = 2.369
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on value for money perception differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on value for money perception is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the value for money perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Value for Money versus Age on Network

Source DF SS MS F P Age on Network 3 92.25 30.75 4.99 0.002 Error 554 3411.12 6.16 Total 557 3503.37 S = 2.481 R-Sq = 2.63% R-Sq(adj) = 2.11% Level N Mean StDev 13 months - Two years 128 4.719 2.111

 3 months - 6 months
 39
 5.590
 2.721

 7 months - One year
 126
 4.563
 2.080

 More than 2 years
 265
 5.426
 2.768

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level 13 months - Two years (-----) (-----) 3 months - 6 months (-----7 months - One year (-----*----) More than 2 years (----) 4.20 4.80 5.40 6.00

```
Pooled StDev = 2.481
```

Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on value for money differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on value for money is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the value for money amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: Value for Money versus Average bill amount

Pooled StDev = 2.508

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.407) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on value for money perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

Summary for Variable Analysed: CS for Value for Money

	Variable Analysed: CS for Value for Money											
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS					
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam					
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala, Aizwal, Imphal, Nalbari, Silchar & Shillong.	Dibrugarh and Tezpur	Guwahati					
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone					
4	Age on Network	0.002	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	7-12, 12-24,	>24 months	3- 6 months					
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.407	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same f	for all the strati ts (0-1000, 100 >2000 rupees)	fication 00-2000,					

One-way ANOVA: Is responsive to customer needs versus Circle

Pooled StDev = 3.235

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "is responsive to customer needs" perception differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "is responsive to customer needs" perception is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Is responsive to customer needs versus Locations

 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Locations
 8
 426.8
 53.4
 5.26
 0.000

 Error
 546
 5536.6
 10.1

 Total
 554
 5963.4

 S = 3.184
 R-Sq = 7.16%
 R-Sq(adj) = 5.80%

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev

 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev

 Agartala
 23
 4.174
 1.696

 Agartala
 23
 4.174
 1.696

 Agartala
 43
 6.279
 2.567

 Jbbrugarh
 44
 5.364
 2.190

 Guwahati
 168
 6.554
 3.842

 Imphal
 105
 4.286
 2.468

 (-------)
 (-------)
 (-------)

 Shillong
 69
 5.913
 2.939

 Silchar
 41
 5.488
 2.925
 (---------)

 Tezpur
 40
 6.275
 3.672
 (----------)

 3.6
 4.8
 6.0
 7.2

Pooled StDev = 3.184

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "is responsive to customer needs" perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- > CS on "is responsive to customer needs" is very low for Agartala and Imphal

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala and Imphal for improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Is responsive to customer needs versus Service Provider

DF Source SS MS F P Service Provider 3 923.19 307.73 33.64 0.000 Error5515040.269.15Total5545963.45 S = 3.024 R-Sq = 15.48% R-Sq(adj) = 15.02% Level N Mean StDev
 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev

 AIRCEL
 59
 5.559
 2.168

 AIRTEL
 123
 7.024
 3.468
 RELIANCE GSM 251 4.386 2.573 VODAFONE 122 7.197 3.687 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level AIRCEL (----*-----) (----) AIRTEL RELIANCE GSM (---*---) (----) VODAFONE 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0

Pooled StDev = 3.024

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "is responsive to customer needs" perception differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on value for "is responsive to customer needs" is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Is responsive to customer needs versus Age on Network

DF Source SS MS F Ρ Age on Network 3 97.5 32.5 3.05 0.028 Error 551 5865.9 Total 554 5963.4 551 5865.9 10.6 S = 3.263 R-Sq = 1.64% R-Sq(adj) = 1.10% Level N Mean StDev 13 months - Two years 126 5.571 3.061

 3 months - 6 months
 39
 7.026
 4.075

 7 months - One year
 126
 5.262
 2.980

 More than 2 years
 264
 5.803
 3.351

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level 13 months - Two years (-----) 3 months - 6 months (-----) 7 months - One year (----*---) (---*---) More than 2 years 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0

```
Pooled StDev = 3.263
```

Interpretation

- Since P value (0.028) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "is responsive to customer needs" differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on "is responsive to customer needs" is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the above amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: Is responsive to customer needs versus Average bill amount

```
DF
Source
                      SS
                          MS
                                F
                                       Ρ
                2 21.9 10.9 1.02 0.363
Average bill amount
Error
                552 5941.6 10.8
Total
                554 5963.4
S = 3.281 R-Sq = 0.37% R-Sq(adj) = 0.01%
Level N Mean StDev
More than Rs 2000 16 6.500 3.225
Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000 494 5.646 3.135
Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 45 6.178 4.624
               Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
               Pooled StDev
                Level
More than Rs 2000 (-----*-----)
                (-*--)
(-----*----)
'-----+--
Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000
Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000
                5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0
```

```
Pooled StDev = 3.281
```

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.363) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "is responsive to customer needs" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

	Variable Analyzed: Is Responsive to Customer Needs											
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS					
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam					
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala, Imphal	Silchar, Shillong, Dibrugarh	Aizwal, Guwahati, Nalbari, Tezpur					
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone					
4	Age on Network	0.028	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	7-12, 12-24,	>24 months	3- 6 months					
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.363	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same f	for all the strat ts (0-1000, 10 >2000 rupees	ification 00-2000,)					

One-way ANOVA: Cares for its customers versus Circle

Pooled StDev = 2.886

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "cares for its customers" perception differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "cares for its customers" perception is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Cares for its customers versus Locations

Source	DF	S	S MS	S F	P	
Locations	8	298.1	0 37.26	5 4.60	0.000	
Error	544	4402.1	4 8.09)		
Total	552	4700.2	5			
S = 2.845	R-S	q = 6.3	4% R-S	Sq(adj)	= 4.96	8
				Indivio	dual 95 StDev	% CIs For Mean Based on
Level	Ν	Mean	StDev		+	+
Agartala	23	3.739	1.630	(*)
Aizwal	43	5.349	2.608	,		()
Dibrugarh	44	5.455	2.215			()
Guwahati	168	5.750	3.150			(*)
Imphal	104	3.962	2.176		(*)
Nalbari	22	5.818	3.948			()
Shillong	69	5.783	2.955			()
Silchar	40	5.075	3.198			()
Tezpur	40	5.450	3.129			()
					36	+++
					2.0	4.0 0.0 /.2

Pooled StDev = 2.845

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "cares for its customers" perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- > CS on "cares for its customers" perception is very low for Agartala and Imphal

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala and Imphal for improving the above perception amongst customers
One-way ANOVA: Cares for its customers versus Service Provider

Source DF SS MS F Ρ Service Provider 3 520.28 173.43 22.78 0.000 Error Total 549 4179.97 7.61 552 4700.25 S = 2.759 R-Sq = 11.07% R-Sq(adj) = 10.58% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev
 --+----+----+----+-----+

 AIRCEL
 59
 5.186
 2.549
 (------)

 AIRTEL
 123
 6.366
 3.295
 (------)
 RELIANCE GSM 250 4.204 2.317 (----*---) (-----) VODAFONE 121 6.132 3.085 4.00 4.80 5.60 6.40

```
Pooled StDev = 2.759
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "cares for its customers" perception differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "cares for its customers" perception is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

One-way ANOVA: Cares for its customers versus Age on Network

```
DF
Source
                      SS MS F P
Age on Network 3 117.39 39.13 4.69 0.003
Error 549 4582.86 8.35
Total 552 4700.25
S = 2.889 R-Sq = 2.50% R-Sq(adj) = 1.96%
Level
                        N Mean StDev
13 months - Two years 126 5.032 2.695

      3 months - 6 months
      39
      6.205
      3.636

      7 months - One year
      124
      4.532
      2.471

      More than 2 years
      264
      5.470
      3.035

                       39 6.205 3.636
                      Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
                        Level
13 months - Two years
                          (----*----)
13 months - 1 wo roan

3 months - 6 months (-----

7 months - One year (----*----)

C months - (----*----)
                                        (-----)
4.00 4.80 5.60 6.40
```

```
Pooled StDev = 2.889
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "cares for its customers" perception differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on "cares for its customers" perception is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: Cares for its customers versus Average bill amount

Pooled StDev = 2.921

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.602) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "cares for its customers" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

	Variable Analysed: cares for its Customers									
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS			
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam			
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala	Aizwal, Dibrugarh Imphal, Silchar, Tezpur	Nalbari, Shillong, Guwahati			
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone			
4	Age on Network	0.003	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	12-Jul	12-24 and >24 months	3- 6 months			
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.602	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same f element	or all the strat s (0-1000, 10 >2000 rupees	ification 00-2000,)			

One-way ANOVA: How likely are you to recommend versus Circle

Pooled StDev = 3.074

Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "how likely is you to recommend" perception differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "how likely are you to recommend" perception is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: How likely are you to recommend versus Locations

DF	S	S MS	S F	P			
8	557.6	8 69.73	1 8.04	0.000			
549	4760.8	5 8.6	7				
557	5318.5	3					
R-S	a = 10.	49% R-	-Sa(adi)	= 9.18	5		
	-1				-		
			Individ	dual 95%	CIs For	Mean Based	on
			Pooled	StDev	010 101	noun baboa	0
N	Maan	StDav		+	+		+
22	Mean 4 070	SLDEV	,	۱ ۲	΄.	I	
23	4.8/0	2.242	()		
43	7.372	1.633				(*)
45	5.822	2.208		(*)	
168	6.935	3.656				(*)	
106	4.491	2.477	(,	*)			
22	5.818	3.361		(*)	
69	6.754	2.681			(*)	
42	6.071	3.279		(*)	
40	5.275	2.679	(-	*_)		
				+	·+	+	+
				4.8	6.0	7.2	8.4
	DF 8 549 557 R-S N 23 43 45 168 106 22 69 42 40	DF S 8 557.6 549 4760.8 557 5318.5 R-Sq = 10. N Mean 23 4.870 43 7.372 45 5.822 168 6.935 106 4.491 22 5.818 69 6.754 42 6.071 40 5.275	DF SS M3 8 557.68 69.73 549 4760.85 8.63 557 5318.53 R-Sq = 10.49% R3 N Mean StDev 23 4.870 2.242 43 7.372 1.633 45 5.822 2.208 168 6.935 3.656 106 4.491 2.477 22 5.818 3.361 69 6.754 2.681 42 6.071 3.279 40 5.275 2.679	DF SS MS F 8 557.68 69.71 8.04 549 4760.85 8.67 557 5318.53 R-Sq = 10.49% R-Sq(adj) Individ Pooled N Mean StDev 23 4.870 2.242 (43 7.372 1.633 45 5.822 2.208 168 6.935 3.656 106 4.491 2.477 (22 5.818 3.361 69 6.754 2.681 42 6.071 3.279 40 5.275 2.679 (DF SS MS F P 8 557.68 69.71 8.04 0.000 549 4760.85 8.67 557 5318.53 R-Sq = 10.49% R-Sq(adj) = 9.18% Individual 95% Pooled StDev N Mean StDev	DF SS MS F P 8 557.68 69.71 8.04 0.000 549 4760.85 8.67 557 5318.53 R-Sq = 10.49% R-Sq(adj) = 9.18% Individual 95% CIs For Pooled StDev N Mean StDev	DF SS MS F P 8 557.68 69.71 8.04 0.000 549 4760.85 8.67 557 5318.53 R-Sq = 10.49% R-Sq(adj) = 9.18% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based Pooled StDev N Mean StDev+

Pooled StDev = 2.945

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "how likely are you to recommend" perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on "how likely are you to recommend" perception is very low for Agartala and Imphal

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala and Imphal for improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: How likely are you to recommend versus Service Provider

```
Source
               DF SS MS F P
Service Provider 3 287.66 95.89 10.56 0.000
Error5545030.879.08Total5575318.53
S = 3.013 R-Sq = 5.41% R-Sq(adj) = 4.90%

        Level
        N
        Mean
        StDev

        AIRCEL
        60
        6.367
        2.642

        AIRTEL
        123
        6.740
        3.430

Level
             N Mean StDev
RELIANCE GSM 251 5.299 2.775
VODAFONE 124 6.863 3.199
           Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
Level
            AIRCEL
AIRTEL
                  (-----)
                               (-----)
RELIANCE GSM (----*---)
VODAFONE
                               (-----)
             4.90 5.60 6.30 7.00
```

Pooled StDev = 3.013

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "how likely are you to recommend" perception differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "how likely are you to recommend" perception is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

One-way ANOVA: How likely are you to recommend versus Age on Network

DF Source SS MS F Р Age on Network 3 41.47 13.82 1.45 0.227 Error 554 5277.06 9.53 Total 557 5318.53 S = 3.086 R-Sq = 0.78% R-Sq(adj) = 0.24% Level N Mean StDev 13 months - Two years 128 5.766 2.646

 3 months - 6 months
 39
 6.872
 3.002

 7 months - One year
 126
 5.952
 3.075

 More than 2 years
 265
 6.174
 3.294

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level 13 months - Two years (-----*----) (-----) 3 months - 6 months (-----) 7 months - One year More than 2 years (----*----) 5.60 6.30 7.00 7.70

```
Pooled StDev = 3.086
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "how likely are you to recommend" perception differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on "how likely are you to recommend" perception is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: How likely are you to recommend versus Average bill amount

DF Source SS MS F Ρ Average bill amount 2 13.06 6.53 0.68 0.506 Error Total 555 5305.47 9.56 557 5318.53 S = 3.092 R-Sq = 0.25% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev (-----) Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 45 5.889 4.086 4.00 4.80 5.60 6.40

Pooled StDev = 3.092

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.506) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "how likely are you to recommend" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

	Variable Analysed: How likely are you to recommend									
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS			
1	Circle	0.01	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam			
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala, Imphal	Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Aizwal			
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone			
4	Age on Network	0.227	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	NO	Same for all the stratification elements					
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.506	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same f element	or all the strat s (0-1000, 100 >2000 rupees	ification 00-2000,)			

One-way ANOVA: How likely are you to continue versus Circle

Pooled StDev = 3.708

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "how likely is you to continue" perception differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "how likely are you to continue" perception is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: How likely are you to continue versus Locations

Source DF SS MS F P Locations 8 334.8 41.9 3.11 0.002 Error 547 7351.4 13.4 Total 555 7686.3 S = 3.666 R-Sq = 4.36% R-Sq(adj) = 2.96% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev -----+ -----) (-----*----)

 Dibrugarh
 45
 8.400
 3.401
 (-----+

 Guwahati
 166
 8.223
 3.970
 (-----+

 Imphal
 106
 7.472
 4.378
 (-----+

 Nalbari
 22
 8.227
 5.345
 (-----+

 Shillong
 69
 7.275
 2.743
 (-----+)

 Silchar
 42
 6.452
 3.248
 (------)

 Tezpur
 40
 5.800
 2.614
 (-------)

 (---*---) (----*--) (-----*----) (----) -----+ 6.0 7.5 9.0 10.5

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.002) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "how likely are you to continue" perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on "how likely are you to continue" perception is very low for Agartala, Silchar and Tezpur

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala, Silchar and Tezpur for improving the above perception amongst customers

Pooled StDev = 3.666

One-way ANOVA: How likely are you to continue versus Service Provider

```
Source
                   DF SS MS F
                                                     Ρ
Service Provider 3 464.4 154.8 11.83 0.000
Error
Total
                   552 7221.9 13.1
                  555 7686.3
S = 3.617 R-Sq = 6.04% R-Sq(adj) = 5.53%
                                    Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                                    Pooled StDev

        Level
        N
        Mean
        StDev
        ----+-----+----+-----+

        AIRCEL
        60
        7.833
        3.320
        (------+)

        AIRTEL
        122
        8.385
        4.058
        (-------)

RELIANCE GSM 250 6.548 3.353 (----*---)
VODAFONE 124 8.548 3.803
                                                             (-----)
                                    6.40 7.20 8.00
                                                                      8.80
```

```
Pooled StDev = 3.617
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "how likely are you to continue" perception differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "how likely are you to continue" perception is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

One-way ANOVA: How likely are you to continue versus Age on Network

```
Source
              DF
                      SS MS F P
Age on Network 3 24.1 8.0 0.58 0.629
Error 552 7662.2 13.9
Total 555 7686.3
S = 3.726 R-Sq = 0.31% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00%
Level
                      N Mean StDev
13 months - Two years 128 7.750 3.966

      3 months - 6 months
      39
      7.205
      3.373

      7 months - One year
      126
      7.230
      3.306

      More than 2 years
      263
      7.627
      3.841

                     39 7.205 3.373
                     Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                    Pooled StDev
Level
                     -----+
                                    (-----)
13 months - Two years
3 months - 6 months (-----*----)
7 months - One year (------)
More than 2 years
                                 (-----)
                     -----+
                           6.60 7.20 7.80 8.40
```

```
Pooled StDev = 3.726
```

Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "how likely is you to continue" perception differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on "how likely are you to continue" perception is low customers with Age on network between 3 months to 1 year

Recommendation

 Operators need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers of 3 months to 1 year of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: How likely are you to continue versus Average bill amount

DF SS MS F Ρ Source 2 19.3 9.7 0.70 0.499 Average bill amount Error Total 553 7667.0 13.9 555 7686.3 Total S = 3.723 R-Sq = 0.25% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0

Pooled StDev = 3.723

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.499) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "how likely are you to continue" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

	Variable Analysed: How likely are you to continue									
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS			
1	Circle	0.024	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam			
2	Location	0.002	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala, Tezpur	Aizwal, Imphal, Shillong, Silchar,	Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Nalbari			
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone			
4	Age on Network	0.629	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	NO	Same for all the stratification elements		tification			
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.499	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same elemen	for all the stra ts (0-1000, 10 >2000 rupees	tification 100-2000, 5)			

One-way ANOVA: Overall quality of the network versus Circle

Pooled StDev = 3.627

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "overall quality of the network" perception differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "overall quality of the network" perception is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

Source DF SS MS F Ρ Locations 8 1309.8 163.7 12.98 0.000 Error 547 6901.6 12.6 Total 555 8211.4 S = 3.552 R-Sq = 15.95% R-Sq(adj) = 14.72% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level N Mean StDev -+---- Agartala 23 4.522 2.906 (------*---- Aizwal 42 4.000 1.530 (-----*----) (-----) Dibrugarh 45 5.111 2.613 (-----) Guwahati 168 7.530 5.118 (--*--) Imphal 106 3.679 2.884 (----*---) Nalbari 22 5.591 3.528 (-----*---) Shillong 69 4.275 1.999 (-----*) (-----) (-----*----) Silchar414.3901.801Tezpur405.1003.241 3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5

One-way ANOVA: Overall quality of the network versus Locations

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "overall quality of the network" perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on "overall quality of the network" perception is very low for Agartala and Imphal

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala and Imphal for improving the above perception amongst customers

Pooled StDev = 3.552

One-way ANOVA: Overall quality of the network versus Service Provider

```
DF SS MS F
Source
                                    Ρ
Service Provider 3 2088.1 696.0 62.75 0.000
Error 552 6123.3 11.1
Total 555 8211.4
S = 3.331 R-Sq = 25.43% R-Sq(adj) = 25.02%
Level N Mean StDev
AIRCEL 60 4.767 1.881
AIRTEL 123 7.650 4.602
Level
           N Mean StDev
RELIANCE GSM 249 3.361 1.928
VODAFONE 124 7.218 4.426
          Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
Level
AIRCEL
          (----*----)
                                (---*---)
AIRTEL
RELIANCE GSM (-*--)
                          (---*---)
VODAFONE
           3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5
```

Pooled StDev = 3.331

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "overall quality of the network" perception differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "overall quality of the network" perception is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

One-way ANOVA: Overall quality of the network versus Age on Network

```
Source
                DF
                         SS MS
                                        F
                                                Ρ
Age on Network 3 330.4 110.1 7.71 0.000
Error 552 7881.0 14.3
Total 555 8211.4
S = 3.779 R-Sq = 4.02% R-Sq(adj) = 3.50%
Level
                          N Mean StDev
13 months - Two years 128 4.602
                                     3.151

      13 months - 1wo year

      3 months - 6 months
      39
      7.051
      4.058

      7 months - One year
      125
      4.496
      3.112

      More than 2 years
      264
      5.807
      4.269

                        Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                       Pooled StDev
                         ----+
Level
13 months - Two years (----*----)
                                           (-----)
3 months - 6 months
7 months - One year (----*---)
                                (--*---)
More than 2 years
                        4.8 6.0 7.2 8.4
```

```
Pooled StDev = 3.779
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "overall quality of the network" perception differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on "overall quality of the network" perception is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: Overall quality of the network versus Average bill amount

```
Source
                  DF
                        SS
                             MS
                                   F
                                         P
                 2 8.1 4.1 0.27 0.760
Average bill amount
Error
                  553 8203.2 14.8
Total
                  555 8211.4
S = 3.852 R-Sq = 0.10% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00%
Level
                 N Mean StDev

        More than Rs 2000
        17
        5.941
        4.575

        Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000
        494
        5.318
        3.752

Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 45 5.133 4.595
                Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
                 Level
More than Rs 2000
                   (-----)
Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000
                          (--*---)
Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 (-----*-----)
                 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0
```

Pooled StDev = 3.852

Interpretation

• Since P value (0.760) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "overall quality of the network" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

	Variable Analysed: Overall Quality of Network									
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS			
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam			
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Aizwal, Imphal, Agartala, Shillong, Silchar	Dibrugarh, Nalbari, Tezpur	Guwahati			
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone			
4	Age on Network	0	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	NO	7-12 and 13 to 24	>24 months	3- 6 months			
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.76	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same f element	or all the strat s (0-1000, 100 >2000 rupees	ification 00-2000,)			

One-way ANOVA: Overall billing experience versus Circle

Pooled StDev = 2.751

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "overall billing experience" perception differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "overall billing experience" perception is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Overall billing experience versus Locations

Source	DF	S	S M	S F	P			
Locations	8	750.3	1 93.7	9 13.17	0.000			
Error	549	3908.6	8 7.1	2				
Total	557	4658.9	8					
S = 2.668	R-S	q = 16.	10% R	-Sq(adj)	= 14.8	8%		
				Individ	ual 95%	CIs For	Mean Bas	sed on
				Pooled	StDev	010 101	noun Duo	ou on
Level	N	Mean	StDev		_+	+	+	+-
Agartala	23	3 783	1 731	(*)		
Aizwal	43	3 814	1 220	(*)		
Dibrugarh	45	4.267	2.649	((*)		
Guwahati	168	6.690	3.600				((*)
Imphal	106	4.179	1.782		(*)		
Nalbari	22	5.364	3.553		(_*)
Shillong	69	4.435	2.159		(-*)		
Silchar	42	3.881	1.837	(*)		
Tezpur	40	4.750	2.550		(*)	
					-+	+	+	+-
				3	.6	4.8	6.0	7.2

Pooled StDev = 2.668

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "overall billing experience" perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on "overall billing experience" perception is very low for Agartala, Aizwal and Silchar

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala, Aizwal and Silchar for improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Overall billing experience versus Service Provider

```
      Source
      DF
      SS
      MS
      F
      P

      Service Provider
      3
      774.58
      258.19
      36.82
      0.000

      Error
      554
      3884.41
      7.01

      Total
      557
      4658.98

      S = 2.648
      R-Sq = 16.63%
      R-Sq(adj) = 16.17%

      Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev

      Level
      N
      Mean
      StDev

      AIRCEL
      60
      4.483
      1.927
      (----+---)

      AIRTEL
      123
      6.098
      3.047
      (----+---)

      VODAFONE
      124
      6.484
      3.406
      (----+---)

      4.0
      5.0
      6.0
      7.0
```

```
Pooled StDev = 2.648
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "overall billing experience" perception differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "overall billing experience" perception is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

One-way ANOVA: Overall billing experience versus Age on Network

DF Source SS MS F Ρ Age on Network 3 184.87 61.62 7.63 0.000 Error 554 4474.11 8.08 Total 557 4658.98 S = 2.842 R-Sq = 3.97% R-Sq(adj) = 3.45% Level N Mean StDev 13 months - Two years 128 4.469 2.453

 3 months - 6 months
 39
 6.077
 2.905

 7 months - One year
 126
 4.317
 2.405

 More than 2 years
 265
 5.411
 3.178

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level 13 months - Two years (-----*----) (-----) 3 months - 6 months 7 months - One year (-----*----) More than 2 years (----) 4.00 4.80 5.60 6.40

Pooled StDev = 2.842

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "overall billing experience" perception differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on "overall billing experience" perception is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

Source DF SS MS F P Average bill amount 2 5.49 2.74 0.33 0.721 Error Total 555 4653.50 8.38 Total 557 4658.98 S = 2.896 R-Sq = 0.12% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level N Mean StDev More than Rs 2000 17 5.235 2.538 (----*----) Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000 496 4.960 2.820 (---*---) (------ , (------*------) Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 45 5.289 3.733 4.20 4.90 5.60 6.30

One-way ANOVA: Overall billing experience versus Average bill amount

```
Pooled StDev = 2.896
```

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.721) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "overall billing experience" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

	Variable Analysed: Overall Billing Experience									
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS			
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam			
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala, Aizwal and Silchar	Dibrugarh, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Guwahati			
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone			
4	Age on Network	0	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	NO	7-12 and 13 to 24	>24 months	3- 6 months			
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.721	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same f element	or all the strat s (0-1000, 100 >2000 rupees	ification 00-2000,)			

One-way ANOVA: Accessibility of the payment location versus Circle

```
Source DF
         SS MS F
                      P
Circle 1 752.3 752.3 71.53 0.000
Error 556 5847.5 10.5
Total 557 6599.7
S = 3.243 R-Sq = 11.40% R-Sq(adj) = 11.24%
               Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
               Pooled StDev
Assam 275 7.156 4.053
                                 (---*---)
NE 283 4.834 2.186 (---*---)
               4.80 5.60 6.40
                                  7.20
```

Pooled StDev = 3.243

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Accessibility of the payment location" differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "Accessibility of the payment location" is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Accessibility of the payment locations versus Locations

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Accessibility of the payment location" perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on "Accessibility of the payment location" is very low for Agartala, Silchar and Imphal

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala, Silchar and Imphal for improving the above perception amongst customers

Pooled StDev = 3.219

One-way ANOVA: Accessibility of the payment locations versus Service Provider

DF Source SS MS F Р Service Provider 3 624.9 208.3 19.32 0.000 Error 554 5974.8 10.8 Total 557 6599.7 S = 3.284 R-Sq = 9.47% R-Sq(adj) = 8.98% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev
 -----+------+-----+-

 AIRCEL
 60
 5.067
 2.371
 (-----+)

 AIRTEL
 123
 7.293
 4.162
 (-----+)
 (---*---) RELIANCE GSM 251 5.032 2.804 (----) VODAFONE 124 7.032 3.566 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0

```
Pooled StDev = 3.284
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Accessibility of the payment location" differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "Accessibility of the payment location" is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

One-way ANOVA: Accessibility of the payment locations versus Age on Network

```
DF
Source
                    SS MS
                               F
                                      Ρ
Age on Network 3 129.0 43.0 3.68 0.012
Error5546470.811.7Total5576599.7
S = 3.418 R-Sq = 1.95% R-Sq(adj) = 1.42%
Level
                     N Mean StDev
13 months - Two years

3 months - 6 months 39 7.282 3.877

7 months - One year 126 5.802 3.172

265 6.170 3.699
                   Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                   Pooled StDev
                    Level
13 months - Two years (-----*----)
3 months - 6 months (----
7 months - One year (----*----)
                               (-----)
                       (---*---)
More than 2 years
                    5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0
```

```
Pooled StDev = 3.418
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Accessibility of the payment location" differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on "Accessibility of the payment location" is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the above amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: Accessibility of the payment locations versus Average bill amount

Pooled StDev = 3.448

> Interpretation

 Since P value (0.925) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Accessibility of the payment location" does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

	Variable Analysed: Accessibility of Payment Locations									
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS			
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam			
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala	Aizwal, Imphal, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Guwahati, Dibrugarh and Nalbari			
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance and Aircel		Airtel & Vodafone			
4	Age on Network	0.012	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	7-12 and 13 to 24	>24 months	3- 6 months			
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.925	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same i elemen	for all the stra ts (0-1000, 10 >2000 rupees	tification 00-2000, 5)			

One-way ANOVA: Attractive tariff plans to suit versus Circle

```
Pooled StDev = 3.394
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Attractive tariff plans" differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- > CS on "Attractive tariff plans" is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

Source DF SS MS F P Locations 8 286.1 35.8 3.17 0.002 Error 543 6125.0 11.3 Total 551 6411.1 S = 3.359 R-Sq = 4.46% R-Sq(adj) = 3.06% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev (-----) (---*---) (-----) (----) (-----) 3.6 4.8 6.0 7.2

One-way ANOVA: Attractive tariff plans to suit versus Locations

Pooled StDev = 3.359

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Attractive tariff plans" perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on "Attractive tariff plans" is very low for Agartala, Aizwal, Silchar and Imphal

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala, Aizwal, Silchar and Imphal for improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Attractive tariff plans to suit versus Service Provider

```
Pooled StDev = 3.344
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Attractive tariff plans" perception differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "Attractive tariff plans" perception is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

One-way ANOVA: Attractive tariff plans to suit versus Age on Network

```
Source
            DF
                   SS MS
                             F
                                  Р
Age on Network 3 52.1 17.4 1.50 0.214
Error
Total
            548 6359.0 11.6
           551 6411.1
S = 3.406 R-Sq = 0.81% R-Sq(adj) = 0.27%
Level
                    N Mean StDev
13 months - Two years

3 months - 6 months 39 6.410 3.274

7 months - One year 123 5.439 3.349

Than 2 years 263 5.643 3.709
                  Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                  Pooled StDev
Level
                   13 months - Two years (-----*----)
3 months - 6 months
                          (-----)
                    (-----)
7 months - One year
                     (----*----)
More than 2 years
                   4.80 5.60 6.40 7.20
```

Pooled StDev = 3.406

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.214) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Attractive tariff plans" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Age on Network to another

One-way ANOVA: Attractive tariff plans to suit versus Average bill amount

DF SS MS F Source Р Average bill amount 2 18.1 9.1 0.78 0.460 Error Total 549 6393.0 11.6 Total 551 6411.1 S = 3.412 R-Sq = 0.28% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level N Mean StDev

 More than Rs 2000
 17
 6.000
 3.536
 (------)

 Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000
 490
 5.476
 3.298
 (--*--)

 Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 45 6.067 4.454 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0

Pooled StDev = 3.412

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.460) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Attractive tariff plans" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

	Variable Analysed: Attractive Tariff Plans									
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS			
1	Circle	0.011	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam			
2	Location	0.002	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala, Silchar	Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Imphal, Tezpur	Guwahati, Shillong and Nalbari			
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance and Aircel		Airtel & Vodafone			
4	Age on Network	0.214	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	NO	Same for all the stratification elements		ification			
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.46	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same f	for all the strat ts (0-1000, 10 >2000 rupees	ification 00-2000, ;)			

One-way ANOVA: Overall quality of their VAS versus Circle

Source DF SS MS F P Circle 1 148.70 148.70 29.53 0.000 Error 432 2175.15 5.04 Total 433 2323.85 S = 2.244 R-Sq = 6.40% R-Sq(adj) = 6.18% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level N Mean StDev Assam 187 5.016 2.814 (-----+ NE 247 3.834 1.690 (-----+ 4.00 4.50 5.00 5.50

Pooled StDev = 2.244

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Overall quality of the VAS" differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "Overall quality of the VAS" is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Overall quality of their VAS versus Locations

Source	DF	S	S M	S F	P	
Locations	8	175.6	4 21.9	6 4.34	0.000	
Error	425	2148.2	0 5.0	5		
Total	433	2323.8	5			
S = 2.248	R-S	q = 7.5	6% R-	Sq(adj)	= 5.82%	
		1		- 1()/		
				Indivi	dual 95%	CIs For Mean Based on
				Pooled	StDev	
Level	Ν	Mean	StDev	+	+	+++
Agartala	14	3.286	0.994	(*)
Aizwal	42	4.048	1.287		(*)
Dibrugarh	36	4.778	2.153			()
Guwahati	101	5.228	3.033			(*)
Imphal	91	3.659	2.018		(*)
Nalbari	10	4.900	4.332		(·)
Shillong	67	4.149	1.607		(*)
Silchar	33	3.636	1.454		(*)
Tezpur	40	4.725	2.331			()
- <u>1</u>		. = -		+	+	·+++
				2.4	3.6	4.8 6.0

Pooled StDev = 2.248

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Overall quality of the VAS" perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on "Overall quality of the VAS" is very low for Agartala, Silchar and Imphal

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala, Silchar and Imphal for improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Overall quality of their VAS versus Service Provider

```
Pooled StDev = 2.237
```

Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Overall quality of the VAS" differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "Overall quality of the VAS" is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation
One-way ANOVA: Overall quality of their VAS versus Age on Network

```
Source
                DF
                         SS MS F
                                                Р
Age on Network 3 39.59 13.20 2.48 0.060
Error 430 2284.25 5.31
Total 433 2323.85
S = 2.305 R-Sq = 1.70% R-Sq(adj) = 1.02%
Level
                          N Mean StDev
13 months - Two years 97 4.062
                                     1.836

      13 months - 1 wo your

      3 months - 6 months
      35
      5.086
      2.241

      7 months - One year
      101
      4.059
      2.063

      More than 2 years
      201
      4.493
      2.612

                        Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
                         Level
13 months - Two years
                          (-----)
3 months - 6 months
7 months - One year
                          (-----*-----)
                         (-----)
                              (----*----)
More than 2 years
                         3.60 4.20 4.80 5.40
```

```
Pooled StDev = 2.305
```

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.060) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Overall quality of the VAS" does not differs significantly from one set of Age on network amount to another

One-way ANOVA: Overall quality of their VAS versus Average bill amount

```
DF
Source
                   SS MS F
                                    Ρ
Average bill amount 2 20.57 10.29 1.92 0.147
Error
               431 2303.27 5.34
Total
               433 2323.85
S = 2.312 R-Sq = 0.89% R-Sq(adj) = 0.43%
Level N Mean StDev
More than Rs 2000 14 4.714 2.301
Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000 385 4.268 2.189
Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 35 5.029 3.408
              Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
Level
              More than Rs 2000
              (-----)
               (--*--)
Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000
Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000
                     (-----)
              3.50 4.20 4.90 5.60
```

Pooled StDev = 2.312

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.147) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Overall quality of the VAS" does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

		١	/ariable Analysed:	Overall Quality o	of VAS		
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala	Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Guwahati
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone
4	Age on 0.06 3-6 Network 0.06		3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	NO	Same f	or all the strat elements	ification
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.147	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	0, 1000- >2000 No pees		or all the strat s (0-1000, 100 >2000 rupees	ification 00-2000,)

One-way ANOVA: Activation of VAS as per request versus Circle

Pooled StDev = 2.816

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Activation of VAS as per request" differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "Activation of VAS as per request" is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Activation of VAS as per request versus Locations

Pooled StDev = 2.830

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.138) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Activation of VAS as per request" does not differs significantly from one location to another

One-way ANOVA: Activation of VAS as per request versus Service Provider

```
Source
            DF
                SS MS
                           F
                                Ρ
Service Provider 3 122.36 40.79 5.20 0.002
Error
Total
           385 3019.84 7.84
           388 3142.21
S = 2.801 R-Sq = 3.89% R-Sq(adj) = 3.15%
Level N Mean StDev
AIRCEL 48 4.312 2.223
AIRTEL 89 5 401
RELIANCE GSM 156 4.128 2.542
         96 5.156 3.058
VODAFONE
         Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev
Level
          AIRCEL
AIRTEL
           (-----)
                     (-----)
RELIANCE GSM (----*----)
3.50 4.20 4.90 5.60
```

```
Pooled StDev = 2.801
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.002) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Activation of VAS as per request" perception differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "Activation of VAS as per request" perception is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Activation of VAS as per request versus Age on Network

```
DF
Source
                         SS MS
                                       F
                                               Ρ
Age on Network 3 73.64 24.55 3.08 0.027
Error3853068.567.97Total3883142.21
S = 2.823 R-Sq = 2.34% R-Sq(adj) = 1.58%
Level
                        N Mean StDev
13 months - Two years 90 4.200
                                   2.132

      3 months - 6 months
      32
      5.625
      3.066

      7 months - One year
      92
      4.348
      2.735

      More than 2 years
      175
      4.966
      3.118

                       Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                       Pooled StDev
                       Level
13 months - Two years (-----*----)
3 months - 6 months
7 months - One year
                                   (-----)
                        (-----)
More than 2 years
                                (----*----)
                       4.00 4.80 5.60 6.40
```

```
Pooled StDev = 2.823
```

Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Activation of VAS as per request" differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on "Activation of VAS as per request" is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the above amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: Activation of VAS as per request versus Average bill amount

```
DF
Source
                        SS MS
                                    F
                                         P
Average bill amount 2 11.98 5.99 0.74 0.479
Error
                 386 3130.23 8.11
Total
                 388 3142.21
S = 2.848 R-Sq = 0.38% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00%
Level
                 N Mean StDev

        More than Rs 2000
        13
        4.615
        2.501

        Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000
        343
        4.644
        2.791

Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 33 5.273 3.494
                 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                Pooled StDev
Level
                 More than Rs 2000 (-----)
Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000
                              (---*---)
                             (-----)
Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000
                 3.20 4.00 4.80 5.60
```

```
Pooled StDev = 2.848
```

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.479) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Activation of VAS as per request" does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

	Variable Analysed: Activation of VAS as per request												
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS						
1	Circle	0.002	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam						
2	Location	0.138	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	NO	Same for all locations								
3	Service Providers	0.002	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance and Aircel	-	Airtel & Vodafone						
4	Age on Network	ge on twork 0.027 3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months		Yes	7-12 and 13 to 24	>24 months	3- 6 months						
5	5 Avg Bill Amount 0.479		0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same f element	or all the strat s (0-1000, 100 >2000 rupees	ification 00-2000,)						

One-way ANOVA: Frequency of communication sent versus Circle

Pooled StDev = 2.873

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Frequency of communication" differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "Frequency of communication" is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Frequency of communication sent versus Locations

Source	DF	S	S MS	S F	P			
Locations	8	622.8	7 77.80	6 9.80	0.000			
Error	532	4228.2	6 7.95	5				
Total	540	4851.1	3					
S = 2.819	R-S	q = 12.	84% R-	-Sq(adj)	= 11.5	3%		
				Individ	lual 95%	CIs F	or Mean Bas	sed on
				Pooled	StDev			
Level	N	Mean	StDev	+		+	+	+
Agartala	23	3.913	1.125	(*_)	
Aizwal	41	3.683	2.055	(*)		
Dibrugarh	44	5.045	2.659			(*)	
Guwahati	163	6.362	3.494				(-*	-)
Imphal	101	5.089	2.392			(*)	
Nalbari	22	6.000	3.147			(-	*)
Shillong	69	4.696	2.563		(*)	
Silchar	42	3.024	1.297	(*)			
Tezpur	36	6.222	3.602	·	,		(*)
				+		+	+	+
				3.0		4.5	6.0	7.5

Pooled StDev = 2.819

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Frequency of communication" perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on "Frequency of communication" is very low for Agartala, Aizwal and Silchar

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala, Aizwal and Silchar for improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Frequency of communication sent versus Service Provider

```
Source
                    DF
                           SS MS F
                                                         Р
Service Provider 3 426.59 142.20 17.26 0.000
Error 537 4424.54 8.24
Total 540 4851.13
S = 2.870 R-Sq = 8.79% R-Sq(adj) = 8.28%
                                      Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                                      Pooled StDev

        Level
        N
        Mean
        StDev
        ---+-----+-----+-----+------

        AIRCEL
        56
        4.411
        2.069
        (----+---)

        AIRTEL
        119
        6.025
        3.274
        (----+---)

                                       (---*--)
RELIANCE GSM 250 4.452 2.406
VODAFONE 116 6.414 3.590
                                                              (----)
                                     4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0
```

```
Pooled StDev = 2.870
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Frequency of communication" differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "Frequency of communication" is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Frequency of communication sent versus Age on Network

```
DF
Source
                       SS MS
                                       F
                                              Р
Age on Network 3 202.05 67.35 7.78 0.000
Error 537 4649.08 8.66
Total 540 4851.13
S = 2.942 R-Sq = 4.17% R-Sq(adj) = 3.63%
Level
                         N Mean StDev
13 months - Two years 124 4.734
                                   2.538

      3 months - 6 months
      37
      7.297
      3.748

      7 months - One year
      123
      4.911
      2.793

      More than 2 years
      257
      5.292
      3.061

                       37 7.297 3.748
                       Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                      Pooled StDev
Level
                       13 months - Two years (---*---)
3 months - 6 months
                                         (-----)
                       (---*--)
(--*--)
7 months - One year
More than 2 years
                        4.8 6.0 7.2 8.4
```

```
Pooled StDev = 2.942
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Frequency of communication" differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on "Frequency of communication" is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the above amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: Frequency of communication sent versus Average bill amount

DF Source SS MS F Ρ 2 21.63 10.81 1.20 0.301 Average bill amount Error 538 4829.50 8.98 Total 540 4851.13 S = 2.996 R-Sq = 0.45% R-Sq(adj) = 0.08% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 43 5.279 2.789 (-----*----) 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0

Pooled StDev = 2.996

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.301) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Frequency of communication" does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

		Varia	able Analysed: Free	quency of Comm	unication			
Sr No	Stratification Factor	Effication actor P value Stratification Element Circle 0 Assam & NE Circle 0 Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur ervice 0 Aircel, Airtel, Peliance &	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS		
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam	
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Silchar	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Imphal, Shillong,	Nalbari, Guwahati and Tezpur	
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance and Aircel	-	Airtel & Vodafone	
4	Age on Network	0	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	7-12 and 13 to 24	>24 months	3- 6 months	
5	Avg Bill 0.301 0-1000, 10 Amount 0.301 2000, >20		0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same for all the stratification elements (0-1000, 1000-2000, >2000 rupees)			

One-way ANOVA: Accuracy of the bill amount versus Circle

```
Pooled StDev = 3.231
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Accuracy of the bill amount" differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "Accuracy of the bill amount" is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Accuracy of the bill amount versus Locations

 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Locations
 8
 583.4
 72.9
 7.16
 0.000

 Error
 538
 5479.2
 10.2

 Total
 546
 6062.7

 S = 3.191
 R-Sq = 9.62%
 R-Sq(adj) = 8.28%

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev

 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev

 Agartala
 23
 4.000
 2.153

 Aizwal
 43
 5.209
 2.007

 Dibrugarh
 35
 5.314
 2.323

 Guwahati
 167
 6.790
 3.965

 Imphal
 106
 4.415
 2.544

 Malbari
 22
 5.636
 4.112

 Silchar
 42
 3.881
 1.435

 Tezpur
 40
 5.050
 3.587

 Tezpur
 40
 5.050
 3.587

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Accuracy of the bill amount" perception differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on "Accuracy of the bill amount" is very low for Agartala, Silchar and Imphal

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala, Silchar and Imphal for improving the above perception amongst customers

Pooled StDev = 3.191

One-way ANOVA: Accuracy of the bill amount versus Service Provider

```
Source
                  DF
                         SS MS F
                                                    Ρ
Service Provider 3 524.4 174.8 17.14 0.000
Error 543 5538.3 10.2
Total 546 6062.7
S = 3.194 R-Sq = 8.65% R-Sq(adj) = 8.14%
                                   Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                                   Pooled StDev

        Level
        N
        Mean
        StDev
        -+-----+

        AIRCEL
        60
        5.183
        3.296
        (------)

        AIRTEL
        123
        6.455
        3.419
        (-------)

                                    (-----)
AIRTEL 123 0.433 3.413
RELIANCE GSM 241 4.357 2.633 (----*----)
VODAFONE 123 6.398 3.849
                                                             (-----)
                                   4.00 4.80 5.60 6.40
```

```
Pooled StDev = 3.194
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Accuracy of the bill amount" differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "Accuracy of the bill amount" is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Accuracy of the bill amount versus Age on Network

```
Pooled StDev = 3.311
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.018) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Accuracy of the bill amount" differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on "Accuracy of the bill amount" is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the above amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: Accuracy of the bill amount versus Average bill amount

DF SS MS F P Source Average bill amount 2 18.1 9.0 0.81 0.444 Error Total 544 6044.6 11.1 546 6062.7 S = 3.333 R-Sq = 0.30% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level N Mean StDev

 More than Rs 2000
 16
 4.875
 2.306
 (------)

 Rs. 0 - Rs. 1000
 487
 5.345
 3.267
 (--*--)

 Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 44 5.932 4.256 (-----) 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0

Pooled StDev = 3.333

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.444) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Accuracy of the bill amount" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

		Va	ariable Analysed: A	ccuracy of Bill A	mount		
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam
2	Location	0	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala	Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Guwahati
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone
4	Age on Network	0.018	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	13 to 24	>24 months and '7 to 12	3- 6 months
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.444	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No elem		or all the strat s (0-1000, 100 >2000 rupees	ification 00-2000,)

One-way ANOVA: Overall experience of dealing with customer care versus Circle

```
Source DF
          SS
               MS F
                         Ρ
Circle 1 94.64 94.64 13.87 0.000
Error 193 1317.34 6.83
Total 194 1411.98
S = 2.613 R-Sq = 6.70% R-Sq(adj) = 6.22%
                 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                 Pooled StDev
Level N Mean StDev ---+-----
Assam 109 5.275 2.621
                              (-----)
    86 3.872 2.602 (----*----)
NE
                 3.50 4.20 4.90 5.60
```

Pooled StDev = 2.613

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Overall experience of dealing with customer care" differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "Overall experience of dealing with customer care" is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Overall experience of dealing with customer care versus Locations

Source	DF		SS	MS	F	P			
Locations	8	164.	59 20.	57 3.0	7 0	.003			
Error	186	1247.	38 6.	71					
Total	194	1411.	98						
S = 2.590	R-	Sq = 11	.66%	R-Sq(ad	lj) =	7.86%			
				Indivi Pooled	dual StDe	95% CI ev	ls For Mean	Based on	
Level	Ν	Mean	StDev	+-		+	+	+	-
Agartala	7	3.857	3.132	(*	·)	
Aizwal	10	4.400	1.265		(*)	
Dibrugarh	17	4.412	1.906			(*)	
Guwahati	58	5.810	2.380					()	
Imphal	36	3.194	2.628	(-		_*)		
Nalbari	8	4.875	4.643			(*-		-)
Shillong	17	4.706	1.993			(*)	
Silchar	16	4.188	3.311		(*)	
Tezpur	26	4.769	2.628			(-	*)	
				2.4		3.6	4.8	6.0	-

Pooled StDev = 2.590

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.003) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Overall experience of dealing with customer care" differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on "Overall experience of dealing with customer care" is very low for Agartala and Imphal
- > Recommendation
 - Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala and Imphal for improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Overall experience of dealing with customer care versus Service Provider

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Overall experience of dealing with customer care" differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "Overall experience of dealing with customer care" is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Overall experience of dealing with customer care versus Age on Network

Source	DF		SS I	MS	F	P		
Age on Network	3	49.	02 16.	34 2	.29	0.080		
Error	191 1	362.	96 7.	14				
Total	194 1	411.	98					
S = 2.671 R-Sc	g = 3.4	7%	R-Sq(a	dj) =	1.90	5%		
Level		N	Mean	StDe	v			
13 months - Two	years	40	4.025	2.00	6			
3 months - 6 mor	nths	20	5.750	2.67	3			
7 months - One y	year	43	4.302	2.98	8			
More than 2 year	îs	92	4.859	2.76	4			
Level		Inc Poc	lividual bled StD	95% ev	CIS 1	For Mea	an Based	on
13 months - Two	vears	(*-)			
3 months - 6 mor	nths			(.*)
7 months - One y	vear		(_*))		
More than 2 year	s			(*)		
			+-		+-		+	+-
			4.0		5.0		6.0	7.0

```
Pooled StDev = 2.671
```

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.080) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Overall experience of dealing with customer care" does not differs significantly from one set of Age on network to another

One-way ANOVA: Overall experience of dealing with customer care versus Average bill amount

Pooled StDev = 2.709

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.837) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Overall experience of dealing with customer care" does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

	Variable Analysed: Overal Experience of Delaing with Customer care											
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS					
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam					
2	Location	0.003	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala, Imphal	Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Guwahati					
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone					
4	Age on 0.08 3- Network		3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	No	Same f	or all the strat elements	ification					
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.837	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same for all the strati elements (0-1000, 100 >2000 rupees)		ification)0-2000,)					

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to resolve query at Customer care versus Circle

Source DF SS MS F Ρ Circle 1 111.63 111.63 14.31 0.000 Error 194 1513.72 7.80 Total 195 1625.35 S = 2.793 R-Sq = 6.87% R-Sq(adj) = 6.39% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Assam 109 5.266 3.017 (-----) 87 3.747 2.484 (----*----) NE 3.50 4.20 4.90 5.60

Pooled StDev = 2.793

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Time taken to resolve query at Customer care" differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "Time taken to resolve query at Customer care" is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to resolve query at customer care versus Locations

 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Locations
 8
 196.89
 24.61
 3.22
 0.002

 Error
 187
 1428.46
 7.64

 Total
 195
 1625.35

 S = 2.764
 R-Sq = 12.11%
 R-Sq(adj) = 8.35%

 Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev

 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev

 Agartala
 8
 2.625
 1.506

 Aizwal
 10
 4.800
 2.860

 Dibrugarh
 17
 3.882
 1.799

 Guwahati
 58
 5.741
 3.154

 Malbari
 8
 4.875
 4.643

 Shillong
 17
 4.588
 2.425

 Silchar
 16
 3.563
 2.032

 Tezpur
 26
 5.231
 2.582

 Tezpur
 26
 5.231
 2.582

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.002) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Time taken to resolve query at Customer care" differs significantly from one location to another.
- CS on "Time taken to resolve query at Customer care" is very low for Agartala, Dibrugarh and Silchar

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus more on areas like Agartala, Dibrugarh and Silchar for improving the above perception amongst customers

Pooled StDev = 2.764

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to resolve query at customer care versus Service Provider

 Source
 DF
 SS
 MS
 F
 P

 Service Provider
 3
 363.39
 121.13
 18.43
 0.000

 Error
 192
 1261.95
 6.57

 Total
 195
 1625.35
 6.57

 S = 2.564
 R-Sq = 22.36%
 R-Sq(adj) = 21.14%

 Level
 N
 Mean
 StDev

 AIRCEL
 16
 3.937
 2.265

 AIRTEL
 47
 6.234
 3.509

 RELIANCE GSM
 89
 3.236
 2.056

 VODAFONE
 44
 5.818
 2.394

 (-----+
 3.6
 4.8
 6.0
 7.2

```
Pooled StDev = 2.564
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Time taken to resolve query at Customer care" differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "Time taken to resolve query at Customer care" is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel
- Recommendation
 - Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to resolve query at customer care versus Age on Network

```
        Source
        DF
        SS
        MS
        F
        P

        Age on Network
        3
        111.92
        37.31
        4.73
        0.003

        Error
        192
        1513.43
        7.88
        7.88

        Total
        195
        1625.35
        1625.35

S = 2.808 R-Sq = 6.89% R-Sq(adj) = 5.43%
Level
                            N Mean StDev
13 months - Two years 40 3.475 2.063
3 months - 6 months 20 6.200 2.331
7 months - One year 43 4.302 3.263
More than 2 years 93 4.860 2.944
                                   Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                                 Pooled StDev
Level
                                    13 months - Two years (-----)

      13 months - 1 wo your
      3 months - 6 months
      (--

      7 months - One year
      (----*---)

      More than 2 years
      (--*---)

                                                           (-----)
                                           (--*---)
                                    3.0 4.5 6.0 7.5
```

```
Pooled StDev = 2.808
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.003) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Time taken to resolve query at Customer care" differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on "Time taken to resolve query at Customer care" is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years

Recommendation

• Operators need to focus on improving the above amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to resolve query at customer care versus Average bill amount

Pooled StDev = 2.895

Interpretation

• Since P value (0.649) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Time taken to resolve query at Customer care" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

	Variable Analysed: Time taken to resolve query at Customer care												
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS						
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam						
2	Location	0.002	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	Yes	Agartala	Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar,	Guwahati, Tezpur						
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance and Aircel	-	Airtel & Vodafone						
4	Age on Network 0.003 3-6, 7-12, 12- >24 months		3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes 13 to 24 13 to 24 12		>24 months and '7 to 12	3- 6 months						
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.837 0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 Same for al elements (0 >20 0.837 2000, >2000 No elements (0 >20			for all the strat ts (0-1000, 10 >2000 rupees	tification 00-2000, 5)							

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to attend to you at Store versus Circle

Pooled StDev = 3.809

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Time taken to attend to you at Store" differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "Time taken to attend to you at Store" is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

Source DF SS MS F P Locations 8 232.2 29.0 1.93 0.061 Error 117 1754.7 15.0 Total 125 1986.8 S = 3.873 R-Sq = 11.68% R-Sq(adj) = 5.65% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Level N Mean StDev Agartala 3 6.667 2.309 Aizwal 5 5.200 2.683 Jbrugarh 14 8.000 2.717 Guwahati 58 8.310 4.268 Malbari 7 6.429 4.894 Silchar 6 4.000 0.000 Ferpur 10 7.800 4.849 Implan 10 7.800 4.849 Implan 6.00 9.0 12.0

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to attend to you at Store versus Locations

Pooled StDev = 3.873

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.061) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Time taken to attend to you at Store" perception does not differs significantly from one location to another

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to attend to you at Store versus Service Provider

```
Pooled StDev = 3.824
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.004) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Time taken to attend to you at Store" differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "Time taken to attend to you at Store" is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel

Recommendation

• Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to attend to you at Store versus Age on Network

```
Source
                DF
                        SS
                              MS
                                     F
                                            Ρ
Age on Network 3 17.6 5.9 0.36 0.780
Error 122 1969.2 16.1
Total 125 1986.8
S = 4.018 R-Sq = 0.89% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00%
                        Ν
Level
                           Mean StDev
13 months - Two years 26 6.885 4.023

      3 months - 6 months
      11
      8.182
      3.157

      7 months - One year
      27
      6.926
      4.497

      More than 2 years
      62
      7.419
      3.924

                       Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on
                       Pooled StDev
                       ----+----+----+-----+-----+-----+-----
Level
13 months - Two years (-----*-----)
3 months - 6 months (-----)
                       (-----)
7 months - One year
                            (-----)
More than 2 years
                       6.0 7.5 9.0 10.5
```

```
Pooled StDev = 4.018
```

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.780) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Time taken to attend to you at Store" perception does not differs significantly from one Age on network to another

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to attend to you at Store versus Average bill amount

DF Source SS MS F Ρ 2 13.4 6.7 0.42 0.659 Average bill amount Error 123 1973.4 16.0 Total 125 1986.8 S = 4.005 R-Sq = 0.67% R-Sq(adj) = 0.00% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev Rs. 1001 - Rs 2000 13 6.923 4.518 (-----*-----) 6.0 8.0 10.0 12.0

Pooled StDev = 4.005

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.659) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on ""Time taken to attend to you at Store" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

		Variable	Analysed: Time	taken to attend	l to you at Sto	re	
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS
1	Circle	0	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam
2	Location	0.061	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	No	Same for all the stratification elements		
3	Service Providers	0.004	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Aircel	Reliance	Airtel & Vodafone
4	Age on Network	0.78	3-6, 7-12, 12- 24, >24 months	No	Same for all t	he stratificatio	n elements
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.659	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same for all the stratifi (0-1000, 1000-2000,		n elements 10 rupees)

One-way ANOVA: Completeness and accuracy of information provided at Store in versus Circle

Pooled StDev = 4.062

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Completeness and accuracy of information provided at Store" differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on "Completeness and accuracy of information provided at Store" is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Completeness and accuracy of information provided at Store versus Locations

DF	S	s ms	F	I	2		
8	255.2	2 31.9	1.88	0.069)		
117	1984.2	2 17.0					
125	2239.	4					
R-	Sq = 11	.40%	R-Sq(ad	j) = 5	5.34%		
			Indivi Pooled	dual 9 StDev	95% CIs For 7	Mean Base	ed on
Ν	Mean	StDev		+	+	+	+-
3	8.000	0.000		(*	·)
5	5.600	2.191	(-		*)	
14	8.000	3.843			(*	()	
58	8.310	4.646			(-*)	
18	5.167	2.749		(*)		
7	6.429	4.894		(*)	
5	5.200	2.683	(*)	
6	4.000	0.000	(*)		
10	7.200	5.181			(*)	
				+	+	+	+-
				3.5	7.0	10.5	14.0
	DF 8 117 125 R-: N 3 5 14 58 18 7 5 6 10	DF S 8 255. 117 1984. 125 2239. R-Sq = 11 N Mean 3 8.000 5 5.600 14 8.000 58 8.310 18 5.167 7 6.429 5 5.200 6 4.000 10 7.200	DF SS MS 8 255.2 31.9 117 1984.2 17.0 125 2239.4 R-Sq = 11.40% N Mean StDev 3 8.000 0.000 5 5.600 2.191 14 8.000 3.843 58 8.310 4.646 18 5.167 2.749 7 6.429 4.894 5 5.200 2.683 6 4.000 0.000 10 7.200 5.181	DF SS MS F 8 255.2 31.9 1.88 117 1984.2 17.0 125 2239.4 R-Sq = 11.40% R-Sq(ad Indivi Pooled N Mean StDev 3 8.000 0.000 5 5.600 2.191 (- 14 8.000 3.843 58 8.310 4.646 18 5.167 2.749 7 6.429 4.894 5 5.200 2.683 (6 4.000 0.000 (10 7.200 5.181	DF SS MS F F 8 255.2 31.9 1.88 0.065 117 1984.2 17.0 125 2239.4 R-Sq = 11.40% R-Sq(adj) = 5 Individual S Pooled StDev N Mean StDev	DF SS MS F P 8 255.2 31.9 1.88 0.069 117 1984.2 17.0 125 2239.4 R-Sq = 11.40% R-Sq(adj) = 5.34% Individual 95% CIs For Pooled StDev N Mean StDev 3 8.000 0.000 (* 3 8.000 2.191 (* 14 8.000 3.843 (* 14 8.000 3.843 (* 14 8.000 3.843 (* 15 5.200 2.683 (* 5 5.200 2.683 (* 6 4.000 0.000 (* 10 7.200 5.181 (* 3.5 7.0	DF SS MS F P 8 255.2 31.9 1.88 0.069 117 1984.2 17.0 125 2239.4 R-Sq = 11.40% R-Sq(adj) = 5.34% Individual 95% CIs For Mean Base Pooled StDev N Mean StDev+

Pooled StDev = 4.118

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.069) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on ""Completeness and accuracy of information provided at Store" does not differs significantly from one location to another

One-way ANOVA: Completeness and accuracy of information provided at Store versus Service Provider

Source		DF	SS	MS	F	P			
Service H	Provide	er 3	236.5	78.8	4.80	0.003			
Error		122	2002.9	16.4					
Total		125	2239.4						
S = 4.052	2 R-S	Sq = 10	.56% R·	-Sq(adj)	= 8.	36%			
				Indix Poole	vidual ed StD	95% CI ev	s For Mean	Based on	
Level		N Me	an StDe	v+-		+	+	+	
AIRCEL		6 6.6	67 2.06	6 (*)	
AIRTEL	2	25 8.7	20 4.57	8			(*)	
RELIANCE	GSM 5	5.7	97 3.66	6	(*	·)		
VODAFONE	Э	86 8.5	00 4.46	9			(*)	
				4.0		6.0	8.0	10.0	

```
Pooled StDev = 4.052
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.003) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Completeness and accuracy of information provided at Store" perception differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on "Completeness and accuracy of information provided at Store" is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel
- > Recommendation
 - Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Completeness and accuracy of information provided at Store versus Age on Network

Source	DF	S	s ms	F	P		
Age on Network	3	146.	1 48.7	2.84	0.041		
Error	122 2	2093.	3 17.2				
Total	125	2239.	4				
S = 4.142 R-Sc	q = 6.	52%	R-Sq(a	dj) = 4	.23%		
Level		N	Mean	StDev			
13 months - Two years 3 months - 6 months		26	5.962	3.206			
3 months - 6 months		11	9.818	4.143			
7 months - One year		27	6.333	4.160			
More than 2 years		62	7.613	4.462			
		Ind Poo	ividual led StDe	95% CI ev	s For 1	Mean Based	on
Level			+		_+	+	+-
13 months - Two years		(*_)			
3 months - 6 mor	nths			(-		*)
7 months - One y	year	(*	-)		
More than 2 year	rs			(*)		
			+		-+	+	+-
			6.0	8	.0	10.0	12.0

```
Pooled StDev = 4.142
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on "Completeness and accuracy of information provided at Store" differs significantly from one Age on network to another.
- CS on "Completeness and accuracy of information provided at Store" is low customers with Age on network between 7 months to 2 years
- Recommendation
 - Operators need to focus on improving the above amongst customers of 7 months to 2 years of Age on network
One-way ANOVA: Completeness and accuracy of information provided at Store versus Average bill amount

Pooled StDev = 4.245

Interpretation

• Since P value (0.524) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Completeness and accuracy of information provided at Store" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

Variable Analysed: Completeness and accuracy of Information provided at Store										
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS			
1	Circle	0.001	Assam & NE	Yes	NE	-	Assam			
2	Location	0.069	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	No	Same for all the stratification elements					
3	Service Providers	0.003	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Reliance	Aircel	Airtel & Vodafone			
4	Age on Network	0.041	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	Yes	13 to 24	>24 months and '7 to 12	3- 6 months			
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.524	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same for all the stratification elements (0-1000, 1000-2000, >2000 rupees)					

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to resolve query/problem at Store versus Circle

Pooled StDev = 3.391

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.002) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Time taken to resolve query/problem at Store" differs significantly from one Circle to another.
- CS on Time taken to resolve query/problem at Store" is more in Assam when compared with NE

Recommendation

• Operators in NE needs to focus more on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to resolve query/problem at Store versus Locations

Source	DF	S	s ms	F	P			
Locations	8	143.	3 17.9	1.49	0.167			
Error	116	1391.	5 12.0					
Total	124	1534.	8					
S = 3.464	R-	Sq = 9.	33% R:	-Sq(adj) = 3.08	38		
				Indivi	dual 959	d CIs For	r Mean Bas	ed on
				Pooled	StDev			
Level	N	Mean	StDev		-+	+	+	+
Agartala	2	6.000	2.828	(,	*)
Aizwal	5	4.000	2.449	(,	*)	
Dibrugarh	14	6.571	3.631			(*)
Guwahati	58	6.534	3.455			(-	*)	
Imphal	18	4.389	2.500		(*))	
Nalbari	7	5.857	4.914		(*)
Shillong	5	5.200	2.683		(*)	
Silchar	6	3.667	0.816	(*-)	
Tezpur	10	7.400	4.993	·		(*)
					-+ 5	+	+ 7 5	10 0
				2	• 5	5.0	7.5	10.0

Pooled StDev = 3.464

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.167) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Time taken to resolve query/problem at Store" perception does not differs significantly from one location to another

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to resolve query/problem at Store versus Service Provider

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P		
Service Provider	3	218.4	72.8	6.69	0.000		
Error	121 1	316.4	10.9				
Total	124 1	534.8					
S = 3.298 R-Sq	= 14.23	% R−S	q(adj)	= 12.	10%		
-							
			Indiv	ridual	95% CIs H	For Mean B	ased on
			Poole	ed StDe	ev		
Level N	Mean	StDev		+	+	+	
AIRCEL 6	5.000	2.449	(*)
AIRTEL 25	7.280	3.311				(*)
RELIANCE GSM 59	4.661	3.021		(-	*))	
VODAFONE 35	7.371	3.812				(*)
				+	+	+	
			3.	2	4.8	6.4	8.0

```
Pooled StDev = 3.298
```

> Interpretation

- Since P value (0.000) is less than 0.05, the average CS on Time taken to resolve query/problem at Store" differs significantly from one Service Provider to another.
- CS on Time taken to resolve query/problem at Store" is low for Aircel and Reliance and high for Vodafone and Airtel
- Recommendation
 - Aircel and Reliance need to focus on improving the above perception amongst customers

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to resolve query/problem at Store versus Age on Network

```
Pooled StDev = 3.553
```

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.897) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on "Time taken to resolve query/problem at Store" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Age on network to another

One-way ANOVA: Time taken to resolve query/problem at Store versus Average bill amount

Pooled StDev = 3.535

> Interpretation

• Since P value (0.667) is greater than 0.05, hence, the average CS on Time taken to resolve query/problem at Store" perception does not differs significantly from one set of Average bill amount to another

Variable Analysed: Time taken to resolve query/problem at store										
Sr No	Stratification Factor	P value	Stratification Element	Are averages for the elements Staistically significant?	Low WCS	Moderate WCS	High WCS			
1	Circle	0.002	Assam & NE	Yes	NE -		Assam			
2	Location	0.167	Agartala, Aizwal, Dibrugarh, Guwahati, Imphal, Nalbari, Shillong, Silchar, Tezpur	No	Same for all the stratification elements					
3	Service Providers	0	Aircel, Airtel, Reliance & Vodafone	Yes	Yes Reliance Air		Airtel & Vodafone			
4	Age on Network	0.897	3-6, 7-12, 12-24, >24 months	No	Same for all the stratification elements					
5	Avg Bill Amount	0.667	0-1000, 1000- 2000, >2000 rupees	No	Same for all the stratification elements (0-1000, 1000-2000, >2000 rupees)					